

910 Clopper Road South Building, Suite 210 Gaithersburg MD 20878 Tel. 240-683-2450 Fax: 240-683-2451 http://www.intronn.com

June 22, 2004

Financial Accounting Standards Board Director of Major Projects File Reference No. 1102-100 401 Merritt 7 P.O. Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Letter of Comment No: 5010 File Reference: 1102-100

## Dear Sirs and Madams:

I am writing to express Intronn's concerns with the stock-option expensing requirements described in Proposed Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, Share-Based Payment, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 123 and 95 (the Exposure Draft). If this proposal is implemented, it will have a negative impact on the future of the biotechnology industry in the United States. It will also adversely affect Intronn's ability to attract future capital and provide accurate and meaningful financial information to my shareholders. I strongly urge you to delay implementation of these requirements and to consider alternatives that would require enhanced disclosure of employee stock options and their dilutive effects on the price of shares.

Biotechnology is now a truly global industry. We are proud that the U.S. biotech industry has become the standard other countries aspire to in developing vigorous, entrepreneurial biotech communities. The vast majority of companies, including Intronn, that comprise this community in the U.S. do not yet have products in the marketplace. Rather, they are engaged in a 10-12 year effort to get their first product approved. During this period, they use stock options to leverage tight payroll budgets and attract the world's best and brightest scientists and technicians and to retain them through the product development cycle. By targeting stock options as an expense, however, the most talented scientists and researchers are much more likely to depart the U.S. for other nations. We must maintain our competitive edge in attracting the world's top scientists.

In addition to the detrimental effect on industry recruitment, the FASB completely disregarded widespread concerns about the inability to accurately value employee stock options. Without a precise and reliable valuation method, mandated expensing will substantially over-inflate the value of employee stock options, resulting in financial statements that do not serve investors, shareholders or employees. The high stock price volatility in our industry, when combined with other highly subjective assumptions, can yield an unacceptably wide range of results. While it may be useful to disclose a hypothetical charge in the footnotes to the financial statements, the inclusion of employee stock option expense in the statement of operations will result in less clarity consistency and reliability of the financial statements. The sensitivity of the option pricing models to the significant estimates and judgments would permit two similar companies to have significant differences in the reported expenses.

Because it costs hundreds of millions of dollars over the course of a decade to bring a new biotechnology product to market, biotech companies rely on a steady influx of capital from investors to fund research and development. As investors weigh competing opportunities, they look to financial statements for clear, accurate information about each company's performance. Mandatory expensing under the FASB's proposed approach, however, will cause unnecessary distortion in the companies' financial statements. As a result, biotechnology companies forced to expense the estimated fair value of stock options may well find themselves at a disadvantage versus other types of ventures with shorter product development cycles.

Once again, I urge you to delay implementation of these requirements and to consider alternatives that would require enhanced disclosure of employee stock options and their dilutive effects on the price of shares. I understand the need for corporate reform, but penalizing entrepreneur companies that were not the target of these reform efforts, is not the answer.

Singerely,

Gerard J. McGarnity, Ph.D.

President and Chief Executive Officer