ikon

From: Christopher_Barnett@amat.com

Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2004 3:09 PM

To: Director - FASB

Subject: File Reference 1102-100: Accounting for Stock Options and ESPPs

Letter of Comment No: 4573 File Reference: 1102-100

I am writing to express my opposition to changing the accounting treatment for stock options and Employee Stock Purchase Plans (ESPPs). Both of these plans have helped me in my financial goals. ESPP shares are an excellent way to put \$'s away and I have used the funds, sometimes only with a \$1-5 increase from my purchase price, to have a down payment on a new car. I have never benefited from stock options, however, I do plan on using the funds to purchase a new home, once the stock price increases. Living in the California Bay Area, I would never be able to afford without my options.

In addition to the well researched points below offer a total picture. I hope you will seriously consider your actions.

- These employee incentives have effectively tied employee performance to shareholder return in a way that no other incentive can match.
- Stock options have helped Applied Materials attract and retain the highly-skilled workers necessary in our globally competitive industry.
- As we move forward in an increasingly competitive world, the United States should not decrease the utility of these incentives while our technological competitors, particularly in China and Taiwan, are increasing their use of stock and stock options. We believe stock options have contributed to unprecedented levels of innovation.
- It is *impossible* to predict the future value of employee stock options, particularly since they are not tradable or transferable and have varied vesting schedules. Adding a "guesstimate" to our Consolidated Statement of Operations (P&L) will not improve clarity or accuracy for our investors. These numbers properly belong in their current location in the footnotes.
- FASB is assuming that employee stock options are employee compensation, over which stockholders have no control. That is not true because in almost all cases the NYSE and NASDAQ require that companies receive the approval of their stockholders before they issue employee stock options. Stockholders are willing to forgo a piece of their company because they believe that the employees will put in extra effort and go "above and beyond," which ultimately may increase the value of their investment.
- · Per FASB's proposal, companies will be required to take a hypothetical charge against earnings, instead of recording a *real expense* that has occurred and can be accurately measured.
- The current accounting rules already work because companies must compute how much dilution of the stockholders' interests is caused by "in the money" employee stock options, and this is factored into all companies' earnings per share (EPS) calculation. Unless the stock price increases and the option vests, it has no "cost" to stockholders because the option is worthless.

Regards,

Christopher Barnett
Global Relocation and Expatriate Tax Services (ETS) Program Manager
Applied Materials, Inc.
3050 Bowers Ave., M/S 2021
P.O. Box 58039
Santa Clara, CA 95054
+1 (1)408/563-5042 office
+1 (1)408/235-4586 fax
+1 (1)408/805-0238 mobile

Applied Materials Confidential/internal Applied Materials Global Relocation/Immigration/Expatriate Tax Services

The content of this message is Applied Materials Confidential. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this message in error, any use or distribution is prohibited. Please notify me immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message from your computer system. Thank you.