

Letter of Comment No. 6056
File Reference: 1102-100

Dave Martin

69040 San Helena Ave. , Cathedral City, CA 92234

June 25, 2004 5:37 PM

Director, Major Projects and Technical Activities Financial Accounting Standards Board
File Reference No. 1102-100
Share-Based Payment; an amendment of FASB Statements No. 123 and 95
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Subject: Make Companies Put Stock Options on the Books

Dear Director, Major Projects and Technical Activities Standards Board:

I strongly support your proposal to require companies to expense stock options. Not expensing stock options has promoted their overuse in CEO pay against the long-term interests of shareholders. For example, I believe stock options provided a financial incentive for Enron executives to cook the books.

The retirement savings of America's working families depend in part on all companies, including small businesses and start-ups, having honest accounting practices. Companies that do not expense stock options are hiding their true cost from investors, creditors and other consumers of financial reports. In my opinion, stock option compensation should not receive preferential accounting treatment.

I also believe that if I as a private citizen tried this accounting method at home as a personal identity the IRS would stop it in it's tracks. Gee, isn't a corporate entity the same? What sort of handshaking, colusion, old boy fellowship, or after office Wink-Wink, nod-nod deal is this for the supposed upper level people of this country.

In conclusion, I urge you to require stock option expensing as soon as possible. Independent experts, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, should set the standards on stock option expensing, not the politicians in Washington. Stock options are a compensation expense, and this cost can be reliably estimated using your proposed accounting method. I believe corporate executives should be ashamed for trying to hide the cost of stock options from their investors.

This is not acceptable to the IRS if I do it in my personal affairs. I do not think that it should be acceptable for our countries corporations.

Also, the name Dick Chaney comes to mind.

Sincerely,

Dave Martin

cc:
Senator Richard Shelby