ikon

Letter of Comment No: 3877 File Reference: 1102-100

From: Paul Martin - Sun Microsystems Labs BOS [Paul.A.Martin@Sun.COM]

Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2004 2:12 PM

To: Director - FASB

Subject: File Reference No. 1102-100

Madam or Sir:

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}$ am writing to express my opposition to expensing stock options in publicly held companies.

I have worked for Sun Microsystems for more than a decade, and I have seen that their use of stock options is extremely broad-based and serves as a very effective way to motivate employees

at all levels of the command chain to work for the long-term good of the entire company.

I have also observed the wild swings in what the "value" of a set of options really is, and this $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}$

observation makes me deeply suspicious of any accounting scheme that "values" the options

they are alive is doomed to be a reflection of the company's stock price, rolled most

inappropriately into the accounting of the company's performance.

In conclusion, in reference to your File Reference No. 1102-100, my experience shows

broad-based employee stock options to be a potential watering of the stock $\mbox{--}$ and thus in

need of complete reporting and stockholder approval -- but not an expense to be accounted $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

for in a manner that subtracts them from the company earnings. The are the best tool a

technology company can use to motivate employees at all levels to work for the best $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots,n\right\}$

long-term interests of the stockholders.

Sincerely;

Paul. A. Martin

* *