

ikon

Letter of Comment No: 1571
File Reference: 1102-100

From: Pat Jugovic (pjugovic) [pjugovic@cisco.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 8:51 AM
To: Director - FASB
Subject: File Reference No. 1102-100 - Expensing Stock Options
Importance: High

Dear Chairman Robert H. Herz,

I am writing you in regards to the File Reference NO. 1102-100 pertaining to treating stock options as an expense. Not only would this be an issue from an accounting standpoint, it would also hurt U.S. companies ability to remain competitive in a global market at a time when we need to support U.S. business not hinder them. I have outlined a few key points below.

Accounting Issues:

- The artificially high valuation for a stock option required by FASB will eliminate stock options as a tool which has driven innovation and productivity.
- Stock options do not meet the definition of an expense because they do not use company assets.
- The true cost of a stock option is dilution of earnings per share (EPS) and is already accounted for when options are exercised.

Competition:

- U.S. companies need stock options to compete with other countries on a global basis. (Example: Chinese companies use stock options and they do not treat them as an expense.)
- Expensing stock options could have a dramatic impact on American high tech leadership, innovation and job creation. In today's economic environment, the number one rule should be "first, do no harm".

Having spent 15 years with public companies that have a stock option plan I have seen first hand the importance of stock options. From a personal standpoint they are a huge part of how I support and plan to support my family in the future. From a corporate standpoint they provide a great means to motivate employees to work harder for both the companies and the shareholders common goals. I urge you to NOT expense stock options.

Sincerely,

Patrick Jugovic
1606 Sarazen Drive
Chesterton, IN 46304
219 926-1048