Letter of Comment No: 58
File Reference: 1200-400
Date Received: 4/13/04

# NYSSCPA

530 fifth avenue, new york, ny 10036-5101 www.nysscpa.org

April 9, 2004

Ms. Suzanne Q. Bielstein Director of Major Projects and Technical Activities Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7, PO Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

By cmail: director@fasb.org

Re: File Reference 1200-400

Exposure Draft: Proposed Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections – a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3

Dear Ms. Bielstein:

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants, the oldest state accounting association, represents approximately 30,000 CPAs that will implement the provisions proposed in the captioned exposure draft. NYSSCPA thanks FASB for the opportunity to comment on its exposure draft.

The NYSSCPA Financial Accounting Standards Committee deliberated the exposure draft and prepared the attached comments with the consultation of the International Accounting and Auditing Committee. If you would like additional discussion with the committee, please contact Robert A. Dyson, chair of the Financial Accounting Standards Committee, at (212) 842-7565, or Robert Colson, NYSSCPA staff, at (212) 719-8350.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey R. Hoops President

Attachment

530 fifth avenue, new york, ny 10036-5101 www.nysscpa.org

### NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

#### COMMENTS ON FASB EXPOSURE DRAFT

Proposed Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections – a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3

File Reference No. 1200-400

April 9, 2004

**Principal Drafter** 

inter:

Patricia A. Crecco Robert N. Waxman

#### NYSSCPA 2003- 2004 Board of Directors

Jeffrey R. Hoops, William Aiken President Spencer L. Barback Michael G. Baritot John J. Kearney, President-elect Rosemarie A. Barnickel Thomas E. Riley, Peter L. Berlant Secretary Andrew Cohen Arthur Bloom, Ann B. Cohen Treasurer Michelle A. Cohen Sandra A. Napoleon-Hudson, Walter Daszkowski Vice President Michael J. DePietro Steven Rubin. Katharine K. Doran Vice President

Michelle A. Cohen
Walter Daszkowski
Michael J. DePietro
Katharine K. Doran
Barbara S. Dwyer
Robert L. Ecker
Mark Ellis
David Evangelista
Peter H. Frank
Jo Ann Golden

Raymond P. Jones Nancy A. Kirby David J. Moynihan Kevin J. O'Connor Robert S. Peare Richard E. Piluso Mark A. Plostock Joseph J. Schlegel Robert E. Sohr Robert A. Sypolt Robert N. Waxman Howard D. Weiner Philip G. Westcott Philip Wolitzer

Neville Grusd

David W. Henion

#### NYSSCPA 2003 - 2004 Financial Accounting Standards Committee

Robert A. Dyson, Chair Kyung S. Choi Patricia A. Crecco Vincent Allocca J. Roger Donohue Roseanne T. Farley Robert Fener Hashim Ghadiali Fred R. Goldstein Abraham E. Haspel

Vincent J. Love.

Raymond M. Nowicki.

Louis Grumet, ex officio

Vice President

Vice President

Edward P. Ichart Linda Lam Joseph E. Manfre John J. McEnerney Stephan R. Mueller Mark Mycio Raymond A. Norton John J. O'Leary Michael P. Reilly

Steven Rubin Sherif Saeed Joseph F. Schick Lewis Shayne Leonard J. Weinstock Barry Wexler Eddie C. Wong Margaret A. Wood Jay B. Zellin

#### NYSSCPA 2003 - 2004 International Accounting and Auditing Committee

Robert N. Waxman, Chairman

Srijit Banerjee Renee D. Bomchill Fredereick D. S. Choi Lee Chedmond

Patrick Edgar James Keating Wijeyanand Pathmanathan

Lewis Shayne

William M. Stocker III Elizabeth K. Venuti George I. Victor Leonard Weinstock

#### NYSSCPA 2003 - 2004 Accounting & Auditing Oversight Committee

Robert E. Sohr, Chair Gary E. Carpenter Robert A. Dyson David J. Hasso Michele M. Levine Thomas O. Linder Eugene D. Mahaney Robert S. Manzella Eric J. Rogers Steven Rubin Ira M. Talbi George I. Victor Paul D. Warner Robert N. Waxman Paul J. Wendell Margaret A. Wood

#### **NYSSCPA Staff**

Robert H. Colson

#### COMMENTS ON FASB EXPOSURE DRAFT

Proposed Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections – a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3

#### File Reference No. 1200-400

#### **General Comments**

The Board's efforts to improve U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and to converge them with international accounting standards are important goals. Overall, the proposed Exposure Draft (ED) accomplishes the goal of converging U.S. GAAP and the IASB's accounting standards regarding accounting changes and error corrections.

#### **Specific Comments**

#### Discussion Item 1 – Retrospective application for changes in accounting principle

The proposed treatment to report a change in accounting principle by retrospective application (unless it is impracticable to do so) is an improvement. Retrospective application and restating the comparative financial information presented for prior periods provides a more transparent presentation of current year operations as the period of change would not include the effects of changes relating to prior periods, which currently is the case. In addition, all periods presented would be comparable allowing for more useful trend analysis of financial information.

## Discussion Item 2 – Impracticability to apply the effects of a change in accounting principle retrospectively

It is appropriate to have an alternative to not retrospectively apply a change if it requires assumptions about management's intent in a prior period or if it requires significant estimates as of a prior period such that the process becomes extremely subjective. For example, if an entity has emerged from bankruptcy with a new management team in place, it will be unlikely that prior management's intent will be available or applicable.

The ED should be revised to cover specific guidance and examples about those situations that would render the retrospective application impracticable. Because many entities will measure the ability to reasonably apply the retrospective application in terms of cost and effort, the additional guidance should be focused on these considerations.

In order to avoid abuse, entities should be required to disclose why it is impracticable to apply retrospective application and what specific information was unavailable in order to reach such a conclusion.

#### Discussion Item 3 - Disclosure of financial statement restatements

Transparency will be enhanced by requiring an entity to disclose the effect of the correction on each line item of the financial statements including per-share amounts affected by the current period and all prior periods presented. In addition, the required disclosure should include a qualitative description of the reason for the correction of an error.

## Discussion Item 4 – Change in depreciation method accounted for as a change in accounting estimate

The ED's proposal to account for a change in depreciation method as a change in accounting estimate rather than a change in accounting principle (as long as management is able to support that the change in depreciation method is preferable) is not objectionable. The definition of a change in accounting principle, however, is "a change in an accounting principle or practice, or the methods of applying them..." Based on that definition, it is unclear why a change in depreciation and amortization methods, which were considered a change in accounting principle under APB 20, would be reclassified as a change in accounting estimate without some explanation. The conceptual rationale for the reclassification should become part of the final statement.

#### Discussion Item 5 - Materiality guidance

Paragraph 38 of APB 20 has not been carried forward to the current ED. The provisions on materiality are extremely helpful in practice. Accordingly, the final standard should carry forward APB 20 paragraph 38's guidance on materiality.

#### Discussion Item 6 - Historical summaries

APB 20, paragraph 39 guidance should also be brought into the final standard.

#### Discussion Item 7 - Illustrative examples

It would be helpful to provide illustrative examples of the application of the ED and to address the discussion items noted above.

#### Discussion Item 8 - Effect on current literature

The Board should consider the impact that retroactive application for voluntary changes in accounting principles, as required by the ED, will have on current SEC and EITF guidance, which is typically prospective.

#### Discussion Item 9 - GAAP hierarchy

The final standard should clarify the position of Concept Statements and FSPs in the GAAP hierarchy. Concept Statements are not included in categories a-d of the GAAP hierarchy. In addition, because of their recent introduction, FSPs have not been categorized in the GAAP hierarchy.

#### Discussion Item 10 - Reference to APB Opinion 20

The following paragraphs of the ED references to APB 20: 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 25, and 26. The number of references and incorporations of APB 20 material and we have suggested more in our comments above, raises the question whether this ED is an amendment of APB 20 rather than a replacement.