

April 1, 2009

Mr. Russell G. Golden Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7 P.O. Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116 * F S P F A S 1 1 S A *

LETTER OF COMMENT NO.

254



File Reference: Proposed FSP FAS 115-a, 124- LETTER OF COMMENT NO. 29.3

Dear Mr. Golden:

The Darling Consulting Group, Inc. (DCG) and the attached 79 co-signers appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-a, FAS 124-a, and EITF 99-20-b, "Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments."

We also offer a brief comment at the end regarding FAS 157-e, "Fair Value Measurements."

Proposed FSP Makes Significant Improvement But Stops Short

In the context of existing accounting rules and guidance regarding the impact of the calculation of "fair value" of financial instruments on reported earnings and capital, the proposed FSP provides marked improvement. Specifically, the ability to bifurcate unrealized securities losses due to the deterioration of the underlying credit dynamics of a financial instrument from other loss factors that pose a "less likely" risk of true economic loss over time (e.g. liquidity and interest rate risk) is an important step in the right direction. It has the benefit of allowing for the "recovery" of the capital reduction associated with the non-credit component as market conditions improve.

Conceptually, we disagree with the implied assumption that an other-than-temporary credit driven impairment presumes that the other loss factors are also other-than-temporary.

Accordingly, we oppose the portion of the proposed FSP as it relates to reporting non-credit related unrealized losses for held-to-maturity debt instruments. Existing guidance from FAS 115 appears sufficiently clear regarding an institution that has made the declaration to hold a security to maturity. By virtue of this declaration, an entity has decided to avoid cyclical noise in the capital markets that can impact short-term value but have little impact on the likely realization of contractual cash flows. Therefore, we believe that the non-credit portion for securities deemed to be other than temporarily impaired should be treated the same as non-impaired HTM securities are currently treated (footnote disclosure vs. the current proposal for adjusting capital via OCI).

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 2 of 9 April 1, 2009

We also strongly encourage the FASB to consider granting an ability to record a one-time recapture of OTTI earnings charges from prior reporting periods that would not have occurred based on the guidance this FSP provides. Doing so can have a meaningful positive impact on the capital position for a number of banking institutions at a time when capital is in scarce supply. Allowing this makes good business sense without the burden of completing an earnings restatement.

Issues surrounding OTTI are not new, and had the proposed guidance existed a little over a year ago the impact on earnings and regulatory capital would have been far less for many banking institutions (including the FHLB system, FNMA and FHLMC to name a few).

Quite frankly, this is a time to put politics and egos aside and to do what is simply right. What is right is to unwind much of the unnecessary destruction of reported capital that has occurred throughout the banking industry that resulted directly from the combined application of FAS 157 and OTTI guidance (the former of which is currently under review, and the latter of which lacked meaningful clarity). The fact that these two issues are under a much accelerated review is clear testament to the inherent problems with the to-date implementation of fair value accounting "standards".

A Need for "Recapturing" Portion of OTTI Adjustment

Prospectively, lifting the specter of non-credit related impairment losses will undoubtedly make the non-agency securities market more liquid as investor fears of senseless OTTl charges are eased. In effect, the proposed FSP will help right an accounting standard wrong that contributed to the demise of certain segments of the securities and credit markets.

But is this enough? Had this proposed guidance been in place in 2008 as the credit markets began the historic changes that have reshaped the landscape of the financial system, we feel that the rapid deterioration of capital that was evident among some financial institutions would have been mitigated greatly.

It seems dubious at best that at a time when the government is helping to support the banking industry with access to capital, that inconsistent implementation of incomplete a priori fair value related guidance be allowed to destroy more capital on a non-cash basis than has been infused on a cash basis. It is this very scenario which is producing a deeply concerning reality: many banks that are producing meaningful ongoing positive cash flow that contributes real incremental cash to capital reserves are being dangled over the edge of a cliff because of accounting rules that never adequately "allowed" for these very difficult times.

For example, there are many private label whole loan mortgage securities that are "trading" at deep discounts in spite of very strong underlying credit performance characteristics. Many of these have been the source of OTTI write-downs that have resulted from non-functioning markets. If the exact loans underlying these securities were instead held in whole loan form on the balance sheet, they would not be impaired. How does FASB reconcile this substantially

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 3 of 9 April 1, 2009

different reporting of carrying values on a bank's balance sheet? If it can not do so with clarity, how can FASB not allow for an appropriate recapturing of the previously, by definition, overstated OTTI earnings losses?

The damage is done; therefore, prospective implementation accomplishes little relative to the very real unintended consequences that have been allowed to transpire. To freeze this damage at a time when a meaningful component of this damage can be reversed with a one-time "extraordinary" adjustment is unconscionable, in our opinion.

Below are two of the many types of examples we have witnessed first hand and very recently that reinforce our concerns with the points above:

- 1) A multi-billion dollar bank was "forced" at year-end to record a large impairment on a portion of their investment portfolio. They reported a "fair value measurement" of approximately 18 cents on the dollar despite the fact that they continue to receive 98.5 cents of contractual cash flow. The prospective yields on these securities are 35%-45%. Transparency? We think not. Unnecessary destruction of reported capital? Absolutely. Did we happen to "stumble" on the only example? No way; numerous similar examples prevail for banks of all sizes. In fact, in this situation the attempt was made to purchase these same securities in the open market at these "fair values". The result; none could be found for purchase, not even at higher prices.
- 2) Many similar examples exist where OTTI adjustments result in 20%-70% impairment charges which do not reflect anywhere near fairly on the intrinsic values of the related securities based upon cash flow characteristics. Clearly, he who controls the assumptions can "justify" ANY VALUE. The real question is who does "control" the assumptions? Very few, if any, of the institutions we hear from feel that they have much of a say in this regard; but yet have very little practical "choice" but to "sign-off" on values they believe are incorrectly understated.

In recognition of the above, the implementation of any and all changes as proposed should be done in such a way that OTTI charges recorded in previous periods (e.g. back to the date that the market for non-government securities began to come under non-credit related OTTI pressure; at very least to 12/31/07) be written back up into the carrying value of the security for the non-credit loss component existing at the implementation date of the proposed FSP. This is the quickest way to put much needed capital back into the system that was effectively and inappropriately destroyed over the last 18 months, and can be accomplished without a costly and cumbersome restatement process.

In Closing: A Call to Revisit Fair Value Accounting Entirely and Proceed with Caution

Authors in support of "mark-to-market" accounting and the SEC itself have argued that most banks have a relatively small amount of assets subject to "mark-to-market" accounting. They have used this fact to support their claim that fair value related accounting has had little to do

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 4 of 9 April 1, 2009

with the current situation. There are a couple of points which deserve consideration based on the perspective we get from the experiences of the diverse universe of community banks we speak with every quarter.

- 1) It is the application of "mark-to-market" concepts to the accounting for OTTI (under the guidance within FAS 157) that is the pertinent "mark-to-market" issue at hand.
- 2) If the "mark-to-market" accounting model has merit then it should hold firm whether a portion of or all financial instruments are carried at Fair Value.
- 3) The reality is that if all bank loans were subjected to the same litmus test that has been applied to investment portfolios, then the likelihood of a single bank left standing would be minimal at best.
- 4) To conclude that this is reflective of reality would be difficult to comprehend. To conclude that this is reflective of the fact that the vast majority of banking institutions operate with "illiquid" balance sheets (e.g. local market loans and deposits that cannot be freely traded), and that if forced to liquidate could not do so without incurring unrealistic discounts (exit prices), non-representative of the intrinsic values of the underlying cash flows, and unsupportable by regulatory capital levels, would be an accurate statement.
- 5) In effect, the application of full mark-to-market accounting to the banking industry guarantees that it ceases to exist. This is not a current phenomenon; it has always been the case. If this is not acceptable, then the accounting model must be revisited.

The debate on mark-to-market accounting also begs a very important question: "Is the purpose of accounting to dictate how entities conduct their business, or is it to reflect how business is actually conducted?"

We believe strongly that Fair Value Accounting (FVA) concepts are not appropriate for financial intermediaries such as the majority of commercial banks, savings banks and credit unions. Most financial intermediaries are balance sheet investors that generate the majority of their earnings from the spread they earn between the income (yield) on assets and the costs of their liabilities. Very little of the earnings for these financial intermediaries results from the sale of assets and liabilities. FVA measurements neither reflect how earnings are created nor capture the true going concern value of this type of financial institution.

To impose a market value concept, that by definition presumes the existence of liquid markets, on business entities that operate primarily in a world of illiquid markets (i.e. community bank business model based upon managing spread vs. price changes), is suspect at best and dangerous at worst.

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 5 of 9 April 1, 2009

A Brief Comment on Proposed FAS 157-e

We agree that the proposed two-step process represents a significant enhancement to the existing guidance pertaining to Fair Value Measurements. Notwithstanding, we believe that FASB should provide further guidance regarding the determination of fair values for financial instruments deemed to be part of inactive markets; specifically as it pertains to use of judgment in estimating and discounting cash flows. A particular area of concern relates to the assumptions regarding defaults and loss factors, and the extent to which they should reflect what is currently known vs. the extent to which they should reflect "anyone's guess" as to potential future defaults, delinquencies, deferments, etc.

In theory, FASB can talk about a reporting entity "using its judgment" on certain matters. In reality, many are finding that it is not the "judgment" of those that sign the financial statements that really matters.

In practice, it too often appears that the only opinion or "judgment" that really matters is that of the CPA firm (or regulators); an opinion which we often find is not "free from bias". Candidly, what we hear from bankers is too frequently reflective of what seems to be 3rd party biases towards a more self-serving and often draconian view based more on the covering of their flank than on fair and accurate reporting of the bank's financial position. In addition to the examples noted earlier in our letter, the following serves as a different yet related example of our concern:

• A multi-billion dollar bank was "forced" to lower their reported "fair values" on their FAS 107 footnote disclosures for their performing loan portfolio to a level that was 7-10 points lower than the bank estimated amount. The only opinion that "mattered" was that of the CPA firm that "felt" the loans were worth less. They had a "price" in mind that they were comfortable with; one that had nothing to do with the particular bank portfolio in question, but rather based upon some "studies" they had done relating to prior credit cycles. Fair reporting? For many banks, the risk of such credit related exit pricing disclosures on performing loans is to signal incorrectly that they are technically insolvent.

These realities makes it imperative that FASB carefully craft new guidance and pay due attention to how accounting impacts business practices. This is particularly true in the area of "fair value" where the technicalities of a theoretical valuation exercise often take center stage and more meaning than the intended spirit of the accounting standard when it was first drafted.

We thank you for your time in reading our comments, and appreciate your serious consideration of our thoughts and recommendations. We would be happy to discuss this letter with you.

Sincerely,

Darling Consulting Group, Inc.

(and attached co-signers)

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 6 of 9 April 1, 2009

Rick M. Wall, CEO

John T. Gill, CPA, SVP/Chief Financial Officer

Highland Bank St. Michael, MN

Bruce A. Walsh SVP & CFO Newport Bancorp Newport, RI

Donna Beilfuss

CFO

First National Bank and Trust Company

Beloit, WI

James E. Hankes President and CEO First Community Bank

Keokuk, IA

David Hrycko

Chief Accounting Officer

Brentwood Bank Bethel Park, PA

Michael Kirk SVP/CFO NexTier Bank Butler, PA

Greg Caldwell

CFO SpiritBank Tulsa, OK

Matt Nightingale

Senior Vice President, Treasurer & CFO

Katahdin Trust Company

Houlton, ME

Brian J Perry

Sr. Vice President & Treasurer/CFO Webster Five Cents Savings Bank

Webster, MA

Michael J. Chewens, Senior Executive Vice President,

CFO & Corporate Secretary Patrick Ward, Treasurer NBT Bancorp Inc. Norwich, NY Jerry Gebert, CEO Thomas T. Marti, SVP River Cities Bank

Wisconsin Rapids, WI

Michael Gilles

Senior Executive Vice President,

Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer

Beverly National Bank

Beverly, MA

Kenneth H. Givens Chief Financial Officer

Aliant Bank Alexander City, AL

Thomas W Schneider President and CEO Pathfinder Bank Oswego, NY

Gary M. Becker Senior Vice President Cedar Rapids Bank & Trust

Cedar Rapids, IA

Martin Carpenter

Chm/CEO

First National Banking Company

Ash Flat, AR

Timothy Felter SVP & CFO

First National Bank of Ipswich

Ipswich, MA

Donald P. Gill President and CEO

S Bank

Weymouth, MA

Chris M. Bond SVP/CFO

Darby Bank & Trust Co.

Vidalia, GA

Alice K. Adair VP/ Accounting

Ironhorse Financial Group, Inc,

Muskogee, OK

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 7 of 9 April 1, 2009

Susan L. Gadoury Controller Edison National Bank Fort Myers, FL

Edward L. Hennessey, Jr. President and CEO Machias Savings Bank Machias, ME

Margaret Hopkins Treasurer Greenfield Savings Bank Greenfield, MA

Steve Quinn President Brown County State Bank Mt. Sterling, IL

Micah R. Bartlett, CPA President & COO Town & Country Financial Corp. Springfield, IL

Roy L. Harmon, Jr., President, CEO & Chairman Darla M. Scott, CFO & EVP Bank of Tennessee Kingsport, TN

Robert Johnson, CPA Chief Financial Officer Northeast Bancorp Lewiston, ME

Daniel P. Lipe Executive Vice President Community Bank of Florida Homestead, FL

Randy Lock President/CEO Two Rivers Bank Blair, NE

Bernie Anderson President State Bank of New Richland

New Richland, MN

Gregory Landroche EVP & CFO Laconia Savings Bank Laconia, NH

Janice C. Morse Executive Vice President & Treasurer Newburyport Five Cents Savings Bank Newburyport, MA

Dennis Leahy Senior Vice President Bristol County Savings Bank Taunton, MA

Kevin R. Day Chief Financial Officer Florence Savings Bank Florence, MA

Michael W. Harden, Jr. CFO The Savannah Bancorp, Inc. Savannah, GA

George W. Cummings, III, President and C.E.O. Michele W. Thaxton, CPA, Chief Financial Officer Dale O. Williams, Executive Vice President - Head of Risk Management Progressive Bank Monroe, LA

Scott M. Cattanach, CPA Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President Peoples State Bank Wausau, WI

Kevin M. Black President/CEO Heartland Bank Gowrie, IA

James R. Odza
EVP/CFO
Grand Bank & Trust of Florida
West Palm Beach, FL

Kate J. Chappell SVP & CFO Bank of Clarke County Berryville, VA Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 8 of 9 April 1, 2009

Jon J Cooper President & CEO Champlain National Bank Willsboro, NY

James W. Holmes President & CEO Carter County Bank Elizabethton, TN

Kristi Harrington

VP

State Bank of New Richland

New Richland, MN

Robert M. Fisher President & CEO Tioga State Bank Spencer, NY

Donald N. Thompson Senior Vice President & CFO Mascoma Savings Bank

Lebanon, NH

Sandra A. Basler

1st Vice President & Treasurer Rollstone Bank & Trust

Fitchburg, MA

Pete Johnson, President & CEO Clint Morrison, CPA, Senior Vice President, Chief

Financial Officer

American Federal Savings Bank

Helena, MT

Jim Smitherman

CEO

Security Bank Odessa, TX

William J. Boyce SVP & CFO

Huntingdon Valley Bank

Warminster, PA

Amber Yunus SVP/Controller

Community Bank of Florida

Homestead, FL

Carlos M. Santos Serrano

Senior Vice-President & Chief Investment Officer

R-G Financial Corp. San Juan, PR

Robert H. Laux Sr. VP - Finance The Park Bank Madison, WI

John J. Letter

Sr. VP - Treasurer and CFO

Ameriana Bank New Castle, IN

Billy G. Taylor President & CEO Armstrong Bank Muskogee, OK

David Mansfield EVP & CFO The Provident Bank Amesbury, MA

Ann Bowers VP & CFO

Citizens National Bank

Sevierville, TN

Frederick F Schwertfeger, President Byron A. Pyzik, SVP-Finance

Horicon Bank Horicon, WI

Michael J. Blodnick President/CEO Glacier Bancorp Inc. Kalispell, MT

Joseph W. Kennedy

Senior Vice President/Chief Financial Officer

Georgetown Savings Bank

Georgetown, MA

Kevin Lycklama

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Riverview Community Bank

Vancouver, WA

Mr. Russell G. Golden, Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board Page 9 of 9 April 1, 2009

Scott Burke President and CEO First Security Bank of Missoula Missoula, MT

Robert A. Nystuen President Glacier Bank Kalispell, MT

Raymond O'Conor Chairman, President & CEO Saratoga National Bank & Trust Co. Saratoga Springs, NY

R. Stewart Ewing, CPA Director Progressive Bank Monroe, LA

Ronald Ostermiller President Big Sky Western Bank Bozeman, MT

F. Stephen Ward Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer The First Bancorp Damariscotta, ME Arthur C. Chase, Jr. President and CEO Bank of the San Juans Durango, CO

Ted T. Awerkamp President & CEO Mercantile Bancorp, Inc. Quincy, Illinois

James D. Walker President Western Security Bank Billings, MT

Ralph G. Cottle President / CEO Citizens Community Bank Pocatello, Idaho

Michael Poland President/CEO Farmers State Bank Cameron, Missouri