

Home of the Trusted Professional
3 park avenue, at 34th street, new york, ny 10016-5991
212.719.8300 • fax 212.719.3364
www.nysscpa.org

February 8, 2010

Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7, PO Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

By e-mail: director@fasb.org

Re: Comments on FASB Proposed Accounting Standards Update
Receivables (Topic 310): Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool That Is
Accounted for as a Single Asset; a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force
(File Reference No. EITF090I)

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants, representing 28,000 CPAs in public practice, industry, government and education, submits the following comments to you regarding the above captioned release. The NYSSCPA thanks the FASB for the opportunity to comment.

The NYSSCPA's Financial Accounting Standards Committee deliberated the proposed standard and drafted the attached comments. If you would like additional discussion with us, please contact Mark Mycio, Chair of the Financial Accounting Standards Committee, at (212) 372-1421, or Ernest J. Markezin, NYSSCPA staff, at (212) 719-8303.

Sincerely,

David J. Moynihan

President

Attachment



Home of the Trusted Professional
3 park avenue, at 34th street, new york, ny 10016-5991
212.719.8300 • fax 212.719.3364

www.nysscpa.org

# NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

#### **COMMENTS ON**

FASB PROPOSED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATE
RECEIVABLES (TOPIC 310): EFFECT OF A LOAN MODIFICATION WHEN THE
LOAN IS PART OF A POOL THAT IS ACCOUNTED FOR AS A SINGLE ASSET; A
CONSENSUS OF THE FASB EMERGING ISSUES TASK FORCE
(FILE REFERENCE NO. EITF090I)

**February 8, 2010** 

**Principal Drafter** 

John McEnerney

## NYSSCPA 2009 - 2010 Board of Directors

Scott M. Adair Gail M. Kinsella David J. Moynihan, Cynthia D. Barry President Nancy A. Kirby John Barone J. Michael Kirkland Margaret A. Wood, President-elect Susan M. Barossi Mark G. Leeds Joseph M. Falbo Jr., S. David Belsky Heather Losi Secretary/Treasurer Ian J. Benjamin Anthony J. Maltese Elliot A. Lesser. Anthony Cassella Barbara A. Marino Sherry L. DelleBovi Vice President Avery E. Neumark Adrian P. Fitzsimons Joel C. Quall Mark L. Meinberg, Vice President Robert L. Goecks Ita M. Rahilly C. Daniel Stubbs, Jr., David R. Herman Erin Scanlon Vice President John B. Huttlinger, Jr. Thomas M. VanHatten Martha A. Jaeckle Liren Wei. George I. Victor Suzanne M. Jensen Charles J. Weintraub Vice President Louis Grumet, ex officio Robert Kawa Jesse J. Wheeler

# NYSSCPA 2009 – 2010 Accounting & Auditing Oversight Committee

Mitchell J. Mertz, Chair Edward P. Ichart William M. Stocker III
Anthony S. Chan Mark Mycio Bruce I. Sussman
Jay H. Goldberg Rita M. Piazza Robert N. Waxman

#### NYSSCPA 2009 – 2010 Financial Accounting Standards Committee

Mark Mycio, Chair Jo Ann Golden John J. McEnerney Kristen Clark Fred R. Goldstein Stephan R. Mueller Lingyun Ou J. Roger Donohue Kenneth Gralak Deepak Doshi Abraham E. Haspel Paul M. Ribaudo Robert A. Dyson Edward P. Ichart Robert M. Rollmann Roseanne T. Farley Julia L. James Joseph Serra Sharon Sabba Fierstein Anil Kumar Elvira Tsvetanov Vincent Gaudiuso Ari Lasker Cyril Uy John F. Georger Jr. Joseph Maffia

**NYSSCPA Staff** 

Sean Martell

Hashim Ghadiali

Ernest J. Markezin William R. Lalli

# **New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants**

Comments on FASB Proposed Accounting Standards Update
Receivables (Topic 310): Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool
That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset; a consensus of the
FASB Emerging Issues Task Force

We have reviewed the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Exposure Draft, "Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset," and we appreciate the opportunity to provide our comments. We have included our responses to the Board's questions below.

**Question 1:** Do you agree that entities should not evaluate whether modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 meet the criteria to be accounted for as troubled debt restructurings? If not, why not?

#### Response

We agree with the proposal because it represents a pragmatic, straightforward approach that is likely to lead to less diversity in practice.

**Question 2:** The Board has a separate project on its agenda relating to improving disclosures on loan losses. Are there specific disclosures that the Board should consider that would be helpful for users about pools of loans accounted for under Subtopic 310-30 or loans modified within those pools?

#### Response

The Board should disclose the total amount of modified loans within pools of loans.

**Question 3:** Paragraph 310-30-40-1 requires a loan to be removed from a pool of loans at its carrying value if the investor sells, forecloses, or otherwise receives assets in satisfaction of the loan, or the loan is written off. The Task Force noted that there was diversity in practice regarding the determination of the carrying amount of a loan removed from a pool. While the amendments in this proposed Update do not directly affect and are not affected by this diversity in practice, do you believe that additional guidance is needed to clarify how the carrying value of a loan should be determined upon removal from a pool? If so, do you have suggestions on what those clarifications should be?

# Response

No additional guidance is needed. We believe that diversity occurred from institutions using different effective interest rates when loans were removed from pools to record modifications that were troubled debt restructurings. This highlights the reasons for our response to Question 1.

**Question 4:** Upon adoption of the amendments in this proposed Update, should entities receive the option to make a one-time election to change the unit of accounting from a pool basis to an individual loan basis? If not, why not? Entities that make this election would then be required to

apply the troubled debt restructuring guidance to future modifications on an individual loan basis.

### Response

We recommend that a one-time election to change the unit of accounting not be permitted because this would increase diversity in practice, reduce comparability, and move accounting away from the overall conclusion reached.

Question 5: Do you agree with the proposed effective date and transition method?

# Response

We agree with the proposed effective date and transition method.