

From: [Stan Sterk](#)
To: [Director - FASB](#)
Subject: Comment Letter- File Reference No. 1820-100
Date: Thursday, October 14, 2010 11:47:59 AM

Mr. Russell Golden
Technical Director
File Reference No. 1820-100
Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7 Corporate Park
Norwalk, Connecticut 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Golden:

I am responding to the joint Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) Exposure Draft on Revenue Recognition (Topic 605). I am concerned about how my CLIENTS will be affected if this exposure draft were to become a part of the construction industries' generally accepted accounting principles:

1. The most critical component of generally accepted accounting principles is to produce financial statements that will meet the needs of the users of those statements. One of the most important users of our financial statements is the surety industry. Certain members of the surety industry say they will NOT embrace the proposed changes and expect they will require contract data from the construction industry in the same manner as is currently provided to them, essentially ignoring the proposed guidelines.
2. It is universally agreed by industry stakeholders, including my CLIENTS, that the proposed changes will increase financial statement complexity, add administrative costs and reduce the standardization of methodologies in reporting, thereby, reducing financial statement credibility.
3. The lack of clarity under these proposed changes will lead to a wide range of subjective markers to break down "performance obligations" within each contract. This is further complicated with the prevalence of change orders at various stages of the typical construction contract. Construction industry financial statements would then contain results that differ greatly from period to period, contract to contract, and company to company. A requisite for competing in today's economic environment is a "level playing field," and these proposed changes would eliminate same, enabling or even encouraging certain competitors to take advantage of the lack of clarity in guidance.

It is clear that the FASB and IASB have the best intentions in mind, but I do not believe this Exposure Draft meets the intended objectives as applied to the construction industry. It would only serve to confuse the end users of the financial statements, increase contractors' costs and provide a means by which financial results could be MANIPULATED. Moreover, the standards proposed in the Exposure Draft do not fully consider the underlying operations and needs of construction companies, who should be afforded an exception if these standards are approved, permitting the continued use of SOP 81-1 instead of adopting the new standard. Thirty-years of consistency and the universal acceptance of the percentage of completion method under SOP 81-1 should not be ended because there is a desire to achieve

a “one size fits all” approach that does not consider the end users of our financial statements.

Thank you for your consideration and the opportunity to share these comments.

It is time to rethink this whole issue. Have you bothered to come out of your ivory tower and look at reality in a world other than multibillion dollar business?

Also, the internal revenue code requires % of completion accounting for all nonresidential contractors doing in excess of \$10,000,000. Why are we now trying to add additional complexity to an already complex world.

This is another reason for a new OCBOA in addition to income tax accounting, formerly known as GAAP when you push or try to push everybody to IFRS. I will retire before I go to IFRS. Small companies, community bankers and bonding companies are NOT interested in your new revenue recognition ideas or in IFRS!

Stan

Stanley J Sterk CPA

Sterk and Company PC CPA's

1039 West Fulton

Grand Rapids, MI 49504

616-458-0091 Fax 616-458-7572

Circular 230 Disclaimer: Any tax advice included in this written or electronic communication is not intended or written by Sterk & Company, P.C. to be used, and it cannot be used by the taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer.

The information contained in this communication is CONFIDENTIAL and intended only for the recipient. If you have received this in error, you are hereby notified that review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the information is forbidden. If you received this in error, please notify the sender by telephone or e-mail and return all printed copies of the e-mail to the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.