FASB Disclosures Liquidity Interest Rate Risk

Date of Entry: 9/20/2012

Respondent information

Type of entity or individual:

Credit Union CFO

Contact information:

Organization: Community Financial CU

Name: Wendi Stodden

Email address: wstodden@yourlocalcreditunion.com

Phone number:

Questions and responses

1. For a financial institution, the proposed amendments would require a liquidity gap table that includes the expected maturities of an entity's financial assets and financial liabilities. Do you foresee any significant operational concerns or constraints in complying with this requirement? If yes, what operational concerns or constraints do you foresee and what would you suggest to alleviate them?

For smaller credit unions most of their loan reporting systems are not set up to report this information in a useable manner without a lot of manual preparation. Most small CU's are not staffed at a level to accommodate the additional reporting burdens this would entail.

2. For an entity that is not a financial institution, the proposed amendments would require a cash flow obligations table that includes the expected maturities of an entity's

obligations. Do you foresee any significant operational concerns or constraints in complying with this requirement? If yes, what operational concerns or constraints do

you foresee and what would you suggest to alleviate them?

The proposed amendments would require information about expected maturities for financial assets and financial liabilities to highlight liquidity risk. Expected maturity is the expected settlement of the instrument resulting from contractual terms (for example, call dates, put dates, maturity dates, and prepayment expectations) rather than an entity's expected timing of the sale or transfer of the instrument. Do you agree that the term expected maturity is more meaningful than the term contractual maturity in the context of the proposed liquidity risk disclosures? If not, please explain the reasons and suggest an alternative approach.

Expected maturies are misleading, and hard to calculate. Historical prepayments can be useful, but are essentially a guess and not appropriate for financial statements.

4. The proposed amendments would require a quantitative disclosure of an entity's available liquid funds, as discussed in paragraphs 825-10-50-23S through 50-23V. Do you foresee any significant operational concerns or constraints in complying with this requirement? If yes, what operational concerns or constraints do you foresee and what would you suggest to alleviate them?

2012-200 For depository institutions, the proposed Update would require a time deposit table Comment Letter No. 41 5. that includes the issuances and acquisitions of brokered deposits during the previous four fiscal quarters. Do you foresee any significant operational concerns or constraints in complying with this requirement? If yes, what operational concerns or constraints do you foresee and what would you suggest to alleviate them? 6. As a preparer, do you feel that the proposed amendments would provide sufficient information for users of your financial statements to develop an understanding of your entity's exposure to liquidity risk? If not, what other information would better achieve this objective? I do not feel this would be useful to the users of CU financial statements. Most users are unable to get beyond a simple income statement and balance sheet, much less be able to understand something as complex as this. 7. Does the liquidity gap table described in paragraphs 825-10-50-23E through 50-23K provide decision-useful information about the liquidity risk of a financial institution? If yes, how would you use that information in analyzing a financial institution? If not, what information would be more useful? 8. Does the cash flow obligations table described in paragraphs 825-10-50-23M through 50-23R provide decision-useful information about the liquidity risk of an entity that is not a financial institution? If yes, how would the information provided be used in your analysis of an entity that is not a financial institution? If not, what information would be more useful? 9. Paragraphs 825-10-50-23S through 50-23V would require an entity to disclose its available liquid funds. Would this table provide decision-useful information in your analysis? If not, what information would be more useful? 10. Are the proposed time intervals in the tables appropriate to provide decision-useful information about an entity's liquidity risk? If not, what time intervals would you suggest? Do you believe that there are any reasons that these required time intervals should be different for financial institutions and entities that are not financial institutions? 11. With respect to the time intervals, should further disaggregation beyond what is proposed in this Update be required to provide more decision-useful information to the extent that significant amounts are concentrated within a specific period (for example, if a significant amount of liabilities are due in Year 10 of the "past 5 years" time interval)? Please explain. 12. For depository institutions, the proposed Update would include a time deposit table that includes the issuances and acquisitions of brokered deposits during the previous four fiscal quarters. Would this table provide decision-useful information in your analysis of depository institutions? If not, what information would be more useful?

2012-200

The interest rate risk disclosures in this proposed Update would require a repricing gap 13. table. Do you foresee any significant operational concerns or constraints in complying with this requirement? If yes, what operational concerns or constraints do you foresee and what would you suggest to alleviate them?

Our reporting systems are not designed to report this information, therefore it would create a large burden on a small staff to try to prepare this information.

14. The interest rate risk disclosures in this proposed Update would include a sensitivity analysis of net income and shareholders' equity. Do you foresee any significant operational concerns or constraints in determining the effect of changes in interest rates on net income and shareholders' equity? If yes, what operational concerns or constraints do you foresee and what would you suggest to alleviate them?

Smaller credit unions will not own the models necessary to report this information, and will be burdened by the cost of either purchasing complex models, or paying an outside consultant to prepare this information.

15. As a preparer, do you feel that the proposed amendments would provide sufficient information for users of your financial statements to understand your entity's exposure to interest rate risk? If not, what other information would better achieve this objective?

I believe the way in which this proposal is written it may serve to confuse users of CU financial statements, will not provide useful information to them, and could in fact mis-lead them.

- 16. Would the repricing gap analysis in paragraphs 825-10-50-23Y through 50-23AC provide decision-useful information in your analysis of financial institutions? If yes, how would this disclosure be helpful in your analysis? If not, what information would be more useful?
- 17. Are the proposed time intervals in the repricing gap table in paragraphs 825-10-50-23AB through 50-23AC appropriate to provide decision-useful information about the interest rate risk to which a financial institution is exposed? If not, which time intervals would you suggest?
- The interest rate risk disclosures in this proposed Update would include a sensitivity 18. analysis portraying the effects that specified changes in interest rates would have on net income and shareholders' equity. Currently, many banks and insurance companies provide a sensitivity analysis of the economic value of equity instead of shareholders' equity. A sensitivity analysis of economic value would include the changes in economic value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost, such as loans and deposits. A sensitivity analysis of shareholders' equity would only include those changes that affect shareholders' equity. Therefore, the changes in the economic value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost would not be reflected in the sensitivity analysis although changes in interest income would be reflected. Do you think that a sensitivity analysis of shareholders' equity would provide more decision-useful information than would a sensitivity analysis of economic value? Please discuss the reasons why or why not.
- 19. Do you think that it is appropriate that an entity that is not a financial institution would not be required to provide disclosures about interest rate risk? If not, why not and how would the information provided be used in your analysis of an entity that is not a financial institution?

2012-200

The amendments in this proposed Update would apply to all entities. Are there any Comment Letter No. 41 20. entities, such as nonpublic entities, that should not be within the scope of this proposed Update? If yes, please identify the entities and explain why.

Credit Unions should be exempt from this proposal. NCUA's regulatory focus already requires additional liquidity risk and interest rate disclosures, and this constitutes a further regulatory compliance burden and cost with little to no value to our members.

Although the proposed amendments do not have an effective date, the Board intends to address the needs of users of financial statements for more information about liquidity risk and interest rate risk. Therefore, the Board will strive to make these proposed amendments effective on a timely basis. How much time do you think stakeholders would require to prepare for and implement the amendments in this proposed Update? Should nonpublic entities be provided with a delayed effective date? If so, how long of a delay should be permitted and why? Are there specific amendments that would require more time to implement than others? If so, please identify which ones and explain why.

22. Do you believe that any of the amendments in this proposed Update provide information that overlaps with the SEC's current disclosure requirements for public companies without providing incremental information? If yes, please identify which proposed amendments you believe overlap and discuss whether you believe that the costs in implementing the potentially overlapping amendments outweigh their benefits? Please explain why.

Additional comments.

21.

Please provide any additional comments on the proposed Update or any comments on this electronic feedback process below.

The use of antiquated and inadequate methodologies for measuring interest rate risk will not benefit CU owners. It would not provide useful decision information to end users, and ignores the unique ownership of the cooperative credit union.