**From:** Brian Holle [mailto:bholle@HarperPearson.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 14, 2013 4:16 PM

**To:** Director - FASB

Subject: Comment: Proposed Accounting Standards Update on Financial Instruments - Credit Losses

To the Director:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposed change in accounting standards for recognizing credit losses.

The proposed change for recognizing credit losses is breathtakingly disconnected from several basic broad accounting principles as has been pointed out in detail by several previous commenters. The idea of accruing for future expenses based on "reasonable" forecasts is a pandora's box to allow completely meaningless loss accruals.

The source of this frenzy to disengage from solid accounting principles for recognizing credit losses is driven by the vacuous trope that accruing probable incurred losses in the period in which they occurred contributed to the financial crisis. Accounting principles do not cause loan losses. Accruing for possible and remotely possible losses will not cause individuals in financial institutions to cease enjoining excessive credit risk. Whatever the accounting formula, financial institution managements will continue to have incentives to understate credit risk, and defer recognition of credit losses, to examiners, auditors, investors, and users of financial statements. If improving these institutions' timeliness on reporting increasing credit risk and recognizing losses is FASB's desire, then a better approach would be to address the woeful lack of auditor (and bank examiner) professional skepticism and independence in auditing credit loss estimates. One such approach might be to re-evaluate the current auditing regime in which managements who prepare the books hire their own auditors, thus incentivizing auditors to please management.

I recommend that the current Board and its staff go back to the original FAS 5 publication from 1973 and re-read it in its entirety. That FASB eloquently, logically, and pointedly rebuffed various then-commenters' arguments to allow the type of accrual the current Board is now proposing.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this proposed change.

These comments reflect the my personal opinion and are not necessarily the opinions of the firm I work for.

Sincerely,

Brian Holle Advisory Manager Harper & Pearson Company, P.C. (713) 579-2321 direct (713) 622-2310 main (713) 579-2421 fax

harperpearson.com



## Serving Our Clients for 50 Years & Counting

The information contained in this electronic communication and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee, addressees named above. If you are not the addressee or responsible for delivering this email you have received this communication in error and you are strictly prohibited from reading, copying or disclosing it. The information contained in this email is highly confidential and may be subject to legally enforceable privileges.

Circular 230 Disclosure: To assure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we inform you that any advice contained herein (including in any attachment) (1) was not written and is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding any federal tax penalty that may be imposed on the taxpayer, and (2) may not be used in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein. Special rules apply to advice in these areas. We would be pleased to review them with you if you require such services.