

471 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3861

www.motoristsgroup.com

Executive Offices

May 24, 2013

Ms. Susan Cosper, Technical Director
Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7
P.O. Box 5116
Norwalk, Connecticut 06856-5116

BY EMAIL: director@fasb.org

Re: File Reference No. 2013-220: *Exposure Draft-Financial Instruments-
Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*

The Motorists Insurance Group appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“Board”) Exposure Draft, *Financial Instruments-Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (“ED”).

As a group of property-casualty (“P&C”) insurance companies, any accounting treatment that mismatches assets with liabilities presents a significant concern. It appears the proposed ED will result in certain instruments being classified into measurement categories that are inconsistent with the P&C insurance business model.

Accounting mismatching for insurance companies

An insurance company’s asset strategy can be extremely complex. The companies in our group use primarily investments such as debt instruments and equities, but with small exposures to high yield bank loans, commodities, and private equities.

Our business strategy often includes holding assets for purposes other than trading or selling in the near term. The new proposal would result in many instruments being measured at fair value through net income (“FV-NI”). This contradicts the Board’s insurance contract proposal. Under that proposal, changes in the insurance liability arising from changes in discount rates are required to be recognized in OCI regardless of the classification and measurement applied to the insurer’s underlying assets. This will result in less relevant and understandable information for financial statement users. Additionally, the ED does not provide any consideration for the significance of potential cash flows that are not solely payments of principal or interest (“SPPI”). Under the new ED, certain instruments that would otherwise classify as fair value through other comprehensive income (“FV-OCI”) or Amortized Cost (“AC”) classification and measurement, would subsequently be measured at FV-NI due to

The Motorists Insurance Group

May 24, 2013
Page 2 of 2

the presence of cash flows that are not significant but do not meet the strict definition of “solely” payments of principal and interest.

We support an approach that would use the business model to define the criteria for classifying and measuring financial assets at AC or FV-NI and designate FV-OCI as the “default” category. We also recommend the board expand the definition of the SPPI test to include “substantially all” the cash flows of the instrument that are payments of principal and interest.

We further recommend that this proposal be considered in its entirety with an effective date simultaneous with the *Financial Instruments-Credit Losses* ED and the insurance contract proposal. The alignment of these dates is necessary to avoid confusion on the part of the users given that these standards are all so closely linked.

Thank you for considering our comments. If you have any questions, please contact me at mike.wiseman@motoristsgroup.com.

The Motorists Insurance Group



Michael L. Wiseman, FCAS, MAAA
Treasurer and CFO