

From: Shipley, Matt [mshipley@gentex.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 29, 2004 4:07 PM
To: Director - FASB
Subject: Expensing of Stock Options (File Ref. 1102-100)



InterScan_SafeSta
mp.txt (296 B...

This letter is written to express my position against the expensing of stock options.

- Stock options are not the same as market traded options. There is no proven, valid model predicting the cost of stock options.

- There is no proof that broad-based stock options are responsible for market scandals.

Expensing options of broad-based stock option programs will do nothing to eliminate future scandals.

- Entrepreneurship is the best chance the U.S. has to keep jobs from moving overseas.

Expensing stock options will reduce entrepreneurship by eliminating option incentives.

Millions of additional jobs will move to the Far East, or Mexico, as a result of our inability to compete.

Haven't we already lost enough of our jobs to Mexico and the Far East.

- The first options to be eliminated will be those of lower and middle management, of which I am one. This will do most damage to middle America, causing greater income inequality.

- Small companies create most jobs. Expensing options will hit small companies the hardest.

- Expensing stock options goes far beyond the accounting issues. It's an economic issue that will do great damage to American industry.

- The FASB plan will produce grossly inaccurate financial reporting at sharp inflection points in our economy causing investors to sell or buy shares based on wrong information. The cost to investors will be staggering. Given our infamous tort system, who will be liable? I would have to say that the FASB would be liable.

I am vehemently against any accounting policy that requires expensing of stock options by broad-based stock option programs.

Matt Shipley
Quality Engineer
Gentex Corporation
Ph: (616) 772-1590 ext. 645
Cell: (616) 886-8964