

From: jhunt@firstavebank.com
To: [Director - FASB](#)
Subject: Comments on No. 1810-100, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" Exposure Draft
Date: Monday, September 20, 2010 8:33:19 AM

John Hunt
910 SW 1st Avenue
Ocala, FL 34471-0904

September 20, 2010

Russell Golden
Technical Director, Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7
P.O. Box 5116
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Golden:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on FASB's Exposure Draft: Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

I am writing to urge FASB to not go forward with the proposal.

The accounting that would result from this proposal would greatly misrepresent the financial condition of our bank and other community banks.

The primary business of community banks is to hold financial instruments to collect contractual cash flows, not to trade them on a regular basis.

Community banks fund their operations by taking deposits and holding loans for the long term. Most financial instruments this bank holds are not readily marketable.

We oppose the proposed accounting treatment for core deposits which calls for them to be regularly remeasured using a present value calculation. This would not provide accurate information and the calculations would be expensive and time consuming, particularly for smaller banks like ours (\$108 million in assets) that have limited staff resources to conduct the analysis.

Community banks such as this bank create and hold small business loans for which there is no active market; it would be very difficult and costly to mark them to market.

The expanded reporting of comprehensive income is unnecessary, confusing and of little use to most financial statement users.

The proposed accounting changes will exacerbate cyclicity in financial results due to the greater reliance on fair value measurements, valuations that will be less accurate than current accounting requirements.

These accounting changes will increase the volatility of bank balance sheets, forcing them to face higher capital requirements or decrease

lending at a time when regulators are calling for more capital and our economy needs more, not less, credit availability.

Again, we thank your for the opportunity to comment on this proposal.

Sincerely,

John R. Hunt
(352) 236-6523