

**From:** [rcg70@mindspring.com](mailto:rcg70@mindspring.com)  
**To:** [Director - FASB](#)  
**Subject:** File Reference: No. 1810-100, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"  
**Date:** Monday, September 27, 2010 12:13:44 PM

---

Ronald C. Grice  
3825 Harrison Road  
Loganville, GA 30052-2462

September 27, 2010

Russell Golden  
Technical Director  
Financial Accounting Standards Board  
401 Merritt 7, PO Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Golden:

As Chairman of the Board of Legacy State Bank], a banking institution in Loganville,GA] with \$98MM in total assets, I am writing to express my opinions on specific provisions of the exposure draft.

I am strongly opposed to the portion of the proposal that requires all financial instruments - including loans - to be reported at fair value (market value) on the balance sheet.

There is no active market for many of our loans, and estimating a market value makes no real sense.

The costs and resources that we will need to comply with this new requirement would be significant. This will require us to pay consultants and auditors to estimate market value.

For the reasons stated above, our bank respectfully requests that the fair value section of the exposure draft be dropped.

## II. COMMENTS ON LOAN IMPAIRMENT

I do not support the proposal for recording interest income. Interest income should continue to be calculated based on contractual terms and not on an after-impairment basis.

Changing the way interest income is recorded to the proposed method makes the accounting more confusing and subjects otherwise firm data to the volatility that comes naturally from the provisioning process. I recommend maintaining the current method.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

770-554-2265

Chairman  
Legacy State Bank