

October 13, 2010

Financial Accounting Standards Board Technical Director File Reference No. 1820-100 FASB, 401 Merritt 7 PO Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116 Telephone: 1-503-224-4155 Fax: 1-503-274-2155 Website: www.willis.com

 Direct Line:
 1-503-450-9789

 Direct Fax:
 1-503-274-2155

 E-mail:
 Lynn.Harris@willis.com

Dear Technical Director,

I am writing to you as an insurance agent and user of financial statements in the process of underwriting construction contractors for surety credit to provide the surety bonds required for their construction contracts. I am writing to you to express my views on the proposed FASB revenue recognition rules contained in Exposure Draft, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, released in June 2010. I believe that the proposal, if enacted, will significantly change the content and usefulness of contractors' financial statements which, in turn, will complicate and hinder the underwriting of construction firms by surety professionals.

I would like to begin by affirming my support of comments made by industry groups such as the Construction Financial Management Association (CFMA), the National Association of Surety Bond Producers (NASBP), the Surety & Fidelity Association of America (SFAA), and the Associated General Contractors of America (AGC).

I feel that the "percentage of completion" revenue recognition method that has been in place since 1981 is a far superior method to the "performance obligation" method proposed by the Exposure Draft. Because the "performance obligation" process involves a much higher degree of subjectivity and judgment, the credibility, consistency and usefulness of financial statements under the proposed method will be greatly diminished. As a surety professional, I use and rely on financial statements every day to make decisions (often multi-million dollar credit decisions). Therefore, any erosion of financial statement credibility is unacceptable. As a result, construction firms will be forced to provide additional schedules beyond what the new standard requires and may even be required to prepare a second set of statements under the current industry accepted standards. This will, of course, lead to increased costs for construction companies and, in turn, for consumers of construction services.

It is my position that the proposed changes are not acceptable. I encourage FASB to **not move forward** with proposed changes. At the very least, I suggest that FASB exempt the construction industry from the new standard.

Sincerely,

Lynette G. Harris

Assistant Vice President

Lynette Harris

Surety Department