1810-100 Comment Letter No. 2728

From: <u>bkkp@fmbspringfield.com</u>

To: <u>Director - FASB</u>

Subject: Comments on No. 1810-100, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" Exposure Draft

Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 3:33:17 PM

Laurie Gewerth 101 N Marshall Ave Springfield, MN 56087-1520

September 29, 2010

Russell Golden Technical Director, Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7 P.O. Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Golden:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on FASB's Exposure Draft: Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

The accounting that would result from this proposal would greatly misrepresent the financial condition of our bank and other community banks.

The primary business of community banks is to hold financial instruments to collect contractual cash flows, not to trade them on a regular basis.

We oppose the proposed accounting treatment for core deposits which calls for them to be regularly remeasured using a present value calculation. This would not provide accurate information and the calculations would be expensive and time consuming, particularly for smaller banks like ours that have limited staff resources to conduct the analysis.

We oppose requiring institutions to record demand deposits at fair value.

Fair value measurements will not provide a better understanding of the values of illiquid agricultural loans held by small banks in rural areas such as this bank.

Establishing fair values for the types of loans held by many community banks like our bank would be costly and result in data of questionable reliability.

Conservative community bankers (and bank regulators) see the need for more flexibility in setting the allowance for loan and lease losses. We are all well aware that economic cycles occur and it is very difficult to absorbing losses and raising capital during times of economic difficulties, such as the current environment.

Conservative community bankers (and bank regulators) see the need for more flexibility in setting the allowance for loan and lease losses. We are all well aware that economic cycles occur and it is very difficult to absorbing losses and raising capital during times of economic

difficulties, such as the current environment.

These accounting changes will increase the volatility of bank balance sheets, forcing them to face higher capital requirements or decrease lending at a time when regulators are calling for more capital and our economy needs more, not less, credit availability.

Again, we thank your for the opportunity to comment on this proposal.

Sincerely,

Laurie Gewerth 5077234800