

From: kmeeker@wauchulastatebank.com
To: [Director - FASB](#)
Subject: File Reference: No. 1810-100, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"
Date: Thursday, September 30, 2010 8:08:16 AM

Kerry Meeker
P.O. Box 248
Wauchula, FL 33873-0248

September 30, 2010

Russell Golden
Technical Director
Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7, PO Box 5116
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Golden:

As SVP and Credit Administrator of Wauchula State Bank], a banking institution in Wauchula, Florida with \$560 million in total assets, I am writing to express my opinions on specific provisions of the exposure draft, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

I am strongly opposed to the portion of the proposal that requires all financial instruments - including loans - to be reported at fair value (market value) on the balance sheet.

Marking all loans to market would cause our bank's capital to sway with fluctuations in the markets - even if the entire loan portfolio is performing. Instead of providing better information about our bank's health or its ability to pay dividends, the proposal would mask it.

Even if the banking regulators' Tier 1 capital excludes fair value fluctuations, we still will have to explain it to our investors, customers and depositors.

For the reasons stated above, our bank respectfully requests that the fair value section of the exposure draft be dropped.

I support the Board's efforts to revise the methodology to estimate loan loss provisions. However, I have serious concerns about how such changes can be implemented by banks like mine.

I recommend that any final model be tested by banks my size in order to ensure that the model is solid and workable.

WE WOULD BE WILLING TO ACCEPT THE HONOR TO TEST THE METHODOLOGY AT WAUCHULA STATE BANK WITH YOUR OVERSIGHT AND GUIDANCE.

I do not support the proposal for recording interest income. Interest income should continue to be calculated based on contractual terms and not on an after-impairment basis.

Changing the way interest income is recorded to the proposed method makes the accounting more confusing and subjects otherwise firm data to the volatility that comes naturally from the provisioning process. I recommend maintaining the current method.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

863-773-4151
Senior Vice President and Credit Administrator
Wauchula State Bank