

October 21, 2010

Technical Director
File Reference No. 1820-100
Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7
P.O. Box 5116
Norwalk, CT
06856-5116

Attention: Technical Director – File Reference NO 1820-100

I am the Corporate Operations Manager for The Superior Group, Inc. and I am concerned with the boards' revenue recognition from contracts' project.

Cost will increase due to this proposed accounting standard. This includes costs to define and track performance obligations and higher professional fees for consulting and attest services.

This standard will not provide better accounting for a contractor or the users of a contractor's financial statements (F\S's). Many of our financial statement users, sureties, bankers, etcetera, do not believe that these revisions will be beneficial to them. They are happy with the current method of percentage completion accounting for long-term contracts. Each individual contract is what is important to contractors and the users of the F\S's and not individual performance obligation. The supplemental accounting schedules relating to completed and uncompleted contracts included in the financial statements would become more complex and difficult to understand if each contract were broken into several different performance obligations and those schedules would probably double or triple in size.

Contracting is a major part of the U.S and world economy, and that this exposure draft would have a detrimental impact on the overall construction industry.

Sincerely,



Teri Mentzer,
Corporate Operations Manager
The Superior Group, Inc.