February 29, 2016

Technical Director
Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7, P.O. Box 5116
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

File Reference No. 2015-350

The Accounting Principles Committee of the Illinois CPA Society (Committee) appreciates the opportunity to provide its perspective on the Proposed Accounting Standards Update—Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework—Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement. The Committee is a voluntary group of CPAs from public practice, industry and education. Our comments represent the collective views of the Committee members and not the individual views of the members or the organizations with which they are affiliated. The organization and operating procedures of the Committee are outlined in Appendix A to this letter.

We are supportive and appreciative of the Board’s efforts in their goal of improving the effectiveness of disclosures in the notes to the financial statements through the disclosure framework project and specifically through the proposed Update regarding disclosure requirements for fair value measurement. However, we have concerns that we believe should be addressed in this proposed Update.

We recommend adding the phrase “if material” following “A reporting entity shall disclose” in paragraphs 820-10-50-2 and 820-10-50-6A to re-emphasize the importance of only providing material information and to avoid creating any confusion over whether a particular disclosure is required. The Board mentions in the proposed Update that amendments from the Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Notes to the Financial Statements (Topic 235): Assessing Whether Disclosures Are Material were considered by the Board when developing the proposed Update on disclosure requirements for fair value measurement. Adding “if material” after “A reporting entity shall disclose” will further clarify and amplify the Board’s desires in removing immaterial disclosures.

We also recommend that before the Board exempts private companies from the fair value disclosures noted in the proposed Update, that they should see whether the disclosure materiality clarification would accomplish that same goal before making across the board exemptions for private entities. If the Board decides to exempt private companies from the financial disclosures noted in the proposed Update, we believe they should also exempt entities other than public business entities like employee benefit plans and not-for profit organizations.

We also recommend a further clarification and modification to the measurement uncertainty disclosure to remove the qualitative disclosure of sensitivity around fair value measurements and instead disclose the range of potential outcomes at the balance sheet date. These disclosures are already being provided by companies reporting under IFRS and would provide useful information to users of the financial statements.

Dissenting Views
Some members of the Committee felt it necessary to include dissenting views of certain items mentioned above. Specifically, one Committee member believes that paragraph 820-10-50-1C makes it clear that the disclosures in this Subtopic are intended only for items deemed material. The Committee member believes by repeating the reference to materiality again, as proposed above, in paragraphs 820-10-50-2 and 820-10-50-6A would create an unnecessary level of confusion for preparers and auditors by emphasizing that a particular disclosure requirement is more important than another disclosure within the Subtopic.

Other Committee members take exception to paragraph 820-10-50-1D, which addresses the objective of the disclosure requirements in this Subtopic, specifically, “item a. The valuation techniques and inputs that a reporting entity uses to arrive at its measures of fair value, including judgments and assumptions that the entity makes.” Those Committee members believe that adding a. to the objectives of disclosure will ensure that the overall effect will be to increase disclosure unless there is clarification as to whether the objective only applies to an item that is individually material or items measured with
similar models and assumptions that are collectively material. They believe that the objective of fair value disclosures should be to report the amount of fair value, the uncertainty inherent in the measurement technique (i.e. level 1, level 2 or level 3) and the extent of that uncertainty in level 3 (the range of values in measures based on unobservable inputs). The objective should not be to provide extensive information that would permit a user to reverse engineer the valuation process or second-guess the amounts reported in the statement of financial position or to provide a macroeconomic forecast for each of the environments in which the entity operates. Further, the objectives should be at a consistent unit of account. While the objectives in subparagraphs b, c, and d of the proposed revisions can be applied at a portfolio level, the proposed revision is subparagraph a. could vary for each valuation exercise, each market or economy the entity operates within, and each type of asset. A disclosure objective at that unit of account would be practicable, perhaps, if applied to a single item, but becomes impracticable when applied at the level of aggregation of the financial statements. Those members believe that disclosure objectives based on materiality should not be determined at the level of a single instrument or asset. In most cases, it should be enough to disclose the level within the fair value hierarchy and, for level 3, a range of values at the portfolio level. Disclosure of assumptions that are forward-looking information or similar to an economic forecast may be better addressed within Management’s Discussion and Analysis rather than the audited financial statements.

In regards to exceptions for private companies, in a principles-based environment based on materiality, overall it may make more sense to apply exceptions at the level of the objective instead of the individual disclosure. Applying exceptions at the level of the individual disclosure limits discretion by a private company and implies that the disclosure is always required by a public company. Those Committee members believe that the users of the financial statements of private companies are mainly concerned with the amount, the inherent uncertainty and the range of values and do not require detailed information about valuation techniques, assumptions and judgments. If the Board decides to retain the disclosure objective in subparagraph a. for private companies, the members recommend that disclosures about valuation models, assumptions and judgments not apply to private entities or only apply to individually material Level 3 valuations.

We appreciate the opportunity to offer our comments.

Sincerely,

Scott G. Lehman, CPA
Chair, Accounting Principles Committee

Ryan Brady, CPA
Vice Chair, Accounting Principles Committee
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