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Questions and responses

1. ScopeQuestions for All Respondents
Question 1: Do you agree with the scope and scope exceptions of this proposed guidance, including its applicability to contracts written by noninsurance entities? If not, what types of contracts or transactions also should be included or excluded from the scope and why?

2. RecognitionQuestions for All Respondents
Question 2: Do you agree with the requirements included in this proposed Update for when noninsurance components of an insurance contract, including embedded derivatives, distinct investment components, and distinct performance obligations to provide goods or services, should be separately accounted for under other applicable Topics? If not, why?

3. Initial and Subsequent MeasurementQuestions for Users
Question 3: Will the proposed measurement model produce relevant information that will help users of an entity's financial statements make economic decisions? If not, what changes do you recommend and why?

4. Question 4: Which aspects of the proposed measurement model most significantly improve the information that will be used in making economic decisions and why?
Using up-to-date not historic assumptions - far more relevant to current decisions

5. Measurement ApproachesQuestions for All Respondents
Question 5: Do you agree that entities should apply different approaches to contracts with different characteristics, described as the building block approach and the premium allocation approach? If not, which model do you think should apply and do you think there should be any changes made to that model?

6. Question 6: Do you agree that entities should be required to apply the premium allocation approach if the coverage period of the insurance contract, considering the contract boundary guidance, is one year or less? If not, what would you recommend and why?
7. Question 7: Do you agree that entities should be required to apply the premium allocation approach if, at contract inception, it is unlikely that during the period before a claim is incurred there will be significant variability in the expected value of the net cash flows required to fulfill the contract? If not, what do you recommend and why?

8. Portfolio and Contract Boundary Questions for Preparers and Auditors Question 8: Do you agree with the definition of a portfolio of insurance contracts as included in this proposed Update? If not, what do you recommend and why?

9. Question 9: Do you agree with the requirements included in this proposed Update on contract boundary (that is, the requirements that establish how to identify the future cash flows that will arise as the insurer fulfills its obligations)? If not, what do you recommend and why?

10. Fulfillment Cash Flows Questions for Preparers and Auditors Question 10: Do you agree with the types of cash flows that would be included in the measurement of the fulfillment cash flows, including embedded options and guarantees related to the insurance coverage under existing insurance contracts that are not separated and accounted for as embedded derivatives? If not, what cash flows do you think also should be included or excluded and why?

11. Question 11: Do you agree that the assumptions used in the measurement of the fulfillment cash flows should be updated each reporting period? If not, what do you recommend and why?

Yes

12. Question 12: Do you agree that the fulfillment cash flows for contracts measured using the building block approach and the liability for incurred claims for contracts measured using the premium allocation approach should be based on an explicit, unbiased, and probability-weighted estimate (that is, the mean) of the future cash flows, as of the reporting date, expected to arise as the entity fulfills the contract, adjusted to reflect any contractual linkage between the contract and any underlying assets? If not, what do you recommend and why?

Yes

13. Questions for All Respondents Question 13: Do you agree with the approach in this proposed Update to recognize changes in estimates of cash flows (other than the effect of changes in the liability arising from changes in the discount rates) in net income in the reporting period? If not, what do you recommend and why?

14. Discount Rates and Discounting Questions for All Respondents Question 14: Do you agree that the discount rates used by the entity for nonparticipating contracts should reflect the characteristics of the insurance contract liability and not those of the assets backing that liability? Why or why not?
15. Question 15: For contracts measured using the premium allocation approach, do you agree that an entity should discount the liability for incurred claims? Do you agree that an entity should be allowed to elect not to discount portfolios when the incurred claims are expected to be paid within one year of the insured event? Why or why not? If not, what would you recommend and why?

16. Question 16: Do you agree that an entity should segregate the effects of underwriting performance from the effects of changes in discount rates (which would reverse over time) by recognizing changes in the present value of the fulfillment cash flows due to changes in the discount rates in other comprehensive income? If not, do you think that the effect of changes in the discount rates should be presented in net income? Please explain your reasoning.

17. Question 17: Because the proposed guidance includes the approach under which changes in the insurance liability arising from changes in the discount rates should be recorded in other comprehensive income, do you think that a test should be required to trigger recognition in net income of some or all of the amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (that is, a loss recognition test based on asset-liability mismatches)? Why or why not?

18. Questions for Preparers and AuditorsQuestion 18: Do you agree that the method for calculating the discount rates should not be prescribed? Is the proposed guidance on determining the discount rates understandable and operable? If not, what do you recommend?

19. Question 19: Do you agree that interest expense generally should be based on the discount rates determined at the date the portfolio of contracts was initially recognized? Why or why not? If not, what do you recommend?

No. That is historic information not relevant to current decisions; the focus should be on current information.

20. Question 20: Do you agree that upon any change in expectations of the crediting rates used to measure the insurance contract liability for insurance contracts with discretionary participation features, the interest accretion rates should be reset in a manner that recognizes any changes in estimated interest crediting and related expected cash flows on a level-yield basis over the remaining life of the contracts? If not, what do you recommend?

21. Margin for Contracts Measured Using the Building Block ApproachQuestions for All RespondentsQuestion 21: Do you agree that an insurer should not recognize a gain at initial recognition of an insurance contract (such a gain would arise when the expected present value of the cash outflows is less than the expected present value of the cash inflows) but, rather, should defer that amount as profit to be recognized in the future? Why or why not?

22. Question 22: Do you support using a one-margin approach, as is included in this proposed guidance, or an explicit risk adjustment and a contractual service margin (as the IASB proposes)? Please explain the reason(s) for your view.

I support a one-margin approach as I believe that calculation of an explicit risk adjustment is inappropriate. I explain the reasons for this in a note that I will send separately to director@fasb.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Question 23: If you support a risk adjustment and a contractual service margin, do you agree with the IASB’s approach to adjust the contractual service margin for changes in estimates of cash flows? Why or why not? Do you agree with the IASB’s approach to not specify acceptable approaches to determine the risk adjustment? Why or why not?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Question 24: Do you agree that a loss at initial recognition of a portfolio of insurance contracts should be recognized immediately in net income (such a loss would arise when the expected present value of the cash outflows exceeds the expected present value of cash inflows)? Why or why not?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Questions for Preparers and Auditors: Question 25: Do you agree with the proposed method(s) of recognizing the margin (that is, as the entity is released from risk under the insurance contracts as evidenced by a reduction in the variability of cash outflows)? If not, what do you suggest and why?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Question 26: Do you agree that interest should be accreted on the margin and therefore affect insurance contract revenue? If not, why?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Question 27: Do you agree that if the expected cash outflows (including qualifying acquisition costs) of a portfolio of insurance contracts will exceed the expected cash inflows, an entity should recognize the remaining margin immediately in net income? Why or why not?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Acquisition Costs Questions for Preparers and Auditors: Question 28: Do you agree that the direct acquisition costs presented with the margin should include only the costs directly related to the entity’s selling efforts that result in obtaining the contracts in the portfolio and that all other acquisition costs should be recognized as expenses when incurred? If not, what do you recommend and why?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Question 29: Do you agree that the measurement of the margin for contracts measured using the building block approach and the liability for remaining coverage for contracts measured using the premium allocation approach should be reduced for direct acquisition costs incurred? If not, what do you recommend?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Question 30: Do you agree that an entity should recognize acquisition costs as an expense in net income in the same pattern that it recognizes the margin for contracts measured using the building block approach or in the same pattern that it reduces the liability for remaining coverage under the premium allocation approach? If not, why not?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Insurance Contract Revenue Questions for All Respondents: Question 31: Do you agree that users of financial statements would obtain relevant information that faithfully represents the entity’s financial position and performance if, in net income, for all insurance contracts, an entity presents insurance contract revenue and incurred expenses, rather than only information about changes in margins (that is, the net profit)? If not, why not?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
32. Question 32: Do you agree that, for all contracts, revenue should exclude any amounts received that an entity is obligated to pay to policyholders or their beneficiaries regardless of whether an insured event occurs and that expenses should exclude the corresponding repayment of those amounts? If not, what do you recommend? Please specify whether your view depends on the type of contract.

33. Question 33: For contracts measured using the premium allocation approach, do you agree that if the contract has a financing component that is significant to the contract, an entity should adjust the liability for remaining coverage to reflect the time value of money and recognize the accretion of interest with insurance revenue? Do you agree with the practical expedient that an entity should not be required to reflect the time value of money in measuring the liability for remaining coverage (that is, if the entity expects, at contract inception, that the time period between when the policyholder pays all or substantially all of the premium and when the entity provides the corresponding part of the coverage is one year or less)? If not, what do you recommend and why?

34. Questions for Preparers and Auditors
   Question 34: For contracts measured using the building block approach, does this proposed Update contain sufficient guidance on how to determine insurance contract revenue in accordance with the principle that it should be allocated between reporting periods as performance obligations are satisfied over time (that is, to allocate consideration between periods by reference to the relative value of the services provided in each period)? If not, explain what additional guidance is necessary.

35. Participating Contracts
   Questions for Preparers and Auditors
   Question 35: Do you agree that participation features that are contractually dependent on the performance of other assets or liabilities of the insurer or the performance of the entity itself should be measured on the same basis used to measure the underlying items and changes in the measurement should be presented in the same statements (that is, net income or other comprehensive income)? Do you agree that this approach should be limited to only participating features for which the amount of the performance of the underlying items passed through to policyholders is contractually determined and not extended to participating features that allow an entity discretion about the amount of the performance of the underlying item to pass through to the policyholders? If not, what do you recommend and why?

36. Reinsurance
   Questions for All Respondents
   Question 36: Do you agree that a cedant should record a margin if the expected present value of the cedant’s future cash inflows exceed the expected present value of the cedant’s future cash outflows (thus prohibiting the recognition of a gain at inception upon entering into a reinsurance arrangement) for (a) retrospective reinsurance contracts accounted for using either the building block approach or the premium allocation approach and (b) prospective reinsurance contracts accounted for using the building block approach? If not, what do you recommend and why?

37. Questions for Preparers and Auditors
   Question 37: Do you agree that a cedant should estimate the fulfillment cash flows (including the ceded premium) for a reinsurance contract using assumptions consistent with those used to measure the corresponding fulfillment cash flows for the underlying insurance contract or contracts, without reference to the margin on the underlying contracts? If not, what would you recommend and why?
38. Question

Insurance Contracts Acquired in a Business Combination

Questions for All Respondents

Question 38: Do you agree that entities should record a loss at the acquisition date in the amount by which any excess of the asset and liability balances related to insurance contracts measured in accordance with the guidance in this proposed Update exceeds the fair value of those assets and liabilities? Do you agree that entities should record a margin (not an immediate gain) for the amount that the fair value of the asset and liability balances exceeds those assets and liabilities measured in accordance with the guidance in this proposed Update? If not, do you think an entity should instead increase or decrease goodwill for the differences between the fair value and the measurement in accordance with the guidance in this proposed Update on those assets and liabilities? Why or why not?

39. Question

Contract Modifications

Questions for Preparers and Auditors

Question 39: Do you agree that for a substantial modification (a) an entity should recognize a gain or loss as the difference between the measurement of the modified contract using the current entity-specific price that the entity would hypothetically charge the policyholder for a contract equivalent to the new contract and the carrying amount of the existing contract and (b) that the carrying amount of the existing contract should be derecognized? If not, what do you recommend?

40. Question

Presentation Questions for All Respondents

Question 40: Do you agree with the presentation requirements included in this proposed Update? If not, what would you recommend and why?

41. Question

Disclosure Questions for All Respondents

Question 41: Do you agree with the disclosure requirements included in this proposed Update? If not, which disclosure requirement(s) would you change and why? Are there any additional disclosures that would provide decision-useful information and why? Do you think that any of the disclosure requirements included in this proposed Update would not provide decision-useful information and should not be required? If so, which ones and why?

42. Question

Effective Date and Transition

Questions for Preparers and Auditors

Question 42: The Board will establish the effective date of the requirements when it issues the final amendments. However, the Board is interested in determining the key drivers affecting the timing of implementation. What are those key drivers? How do those drivers affect the time it will take to implement this proposed guidance?

43. Question

Question 43: Do you think the effective date should be the same for both public and nonpublic entities? Do you think the effective date should be the same for regulated insurance entities and other entities that issue insurance contracts within the scope of this proposed guidance? Why or why not?

44. Question

Question 44: Do you agree that the practical expedients relating to transition included in this proposed guidance are sufficient for retrospective application (that is, are the transition provisions in this proposed guidance operable)? If not, what would you recommend and why?
45. Question 45: For business combinations that occurred before the transition date, is the requirement included in this proposed Update on reallocating the fair value of the asset and liability balances related to insurance contracts between the expected fulfillment cash flows and the margin operable? Why or why not? If not, what would you recommend and why?

46. Questions for Users and Auditors

Question 46: Do you agree that the proposed approach to transition would provide users of financial statements with relevant information that faithfully represents the entity's financial position and performance in a way that appropriately balances comparability with verifiability? Why or why not?

47. Costs and Complexities

Questions for Preparers

Question 47: Describe the nature of the incremental costs of adopting the guidance in this proposed Update, distinguishing between one-time costs and ongoing costs. Explain which aspects of the guidance in this proposed Update are driving those costs and include ideas to make the proposal more cost effective.

48. Questions for Auditors

Question 48: Describe the nature of the incremental costs of auditing the financial reporting requirements included in this proposed Update, distinguishing between one-time and ongoing costs. Explain which aspects of the model in this proposed Update are driving those costs.
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