November 22, 2011

Technical Director
Financial Accounting Standard Board
401 Merritt, 7
PO Box 5116
Norwalk, CN 06856-5116

File Reference No. 2011-240
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Dear Technical Director,

We appreciate the opportunity to respond to the proposed Accounting Standards Update (ASU), Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update (“the proposed Update” or “new Standard”).

URS Corporation (NYSE: URS) is a leading international provider of engineering, construction and technical services. We offer a broad range of program management, planning, design, engineering, construction and construction management, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning and closure services to public agencies and private sector clients around the world. We also are a United States (“U.S.”) federal government contractor in the areas of systems engineering and technical assistance, operations and maintenance, and information technology (“IT”) services. Headquartered in San Francisco, we have more than 47,000 employees in a global network of offices and contract-specific job sites in more than 40 countries. We operate through three reporting segments: the Infrastructure & Environment Division, the Federal Services Division and the Energy & Construction Division.

**Questions 1: Do you agree with the deferral? Why or why not?**

We concur with the FASB’s desire to redeliberate the requirement to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented, as the usefulness of the information and the cost benefit of providing the information is not clear.

**Question 2: Are there alternatives that the Board should consider for presenting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income that would be more cost effective than the one required by Update 2011-05?**

We do not support the requirement to present the offset of the accumulated other comprehensive income on the face of the statement of earnings. We are a highly decentralized multi-national company with three operating segments units using multiple information systems. Hence, the journal entries to record adjustments to other comprehensive income are not
readily available at a consolidated level. Compiling such information would require cost and
time, either through systems modifications or supplemental data collection efforts. We believe
that requiring the presentation of such adjustments and their effect on net income would also
tend to clutter the current presentation of net income. However, we acknowledge that
providing such information does provide users of financial statements with additional insight
regarding the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of a company.

We believe there are other, effective ways to achieve transparency of reclassification
adjustments than as proposed in Update 2011-05. Disclosure of reclassification adjustments
either outside of the financial statements, such as in the notes to the financial statements, or
only in the statement of comprehensive income would be more effective. These alternative
options would not clutter or complicate the statement of operations and thus, will allow users
to focus on the actual operations of a company.

Additionally, we believe that the reclassification adjustment disclosures should only be required
annually and be allowed as an option for interim reporting similar to the concept of a
condensed interim financial statement.

**Question 3:** If you provide an alternative in Questions 2 above, please explain how your
alternative would better serve the needs of users of financial statements than current
requirements.

We believe that current income statement presentations are widely accepted by investors and
analysts and the proposed requirement in Update 2011-05 to present reclassification
adjustments would tend to obfuscate those presentations. Transparency of reclassification
adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income can be adequately achieved with
footnote disclosure or through the comprehensive income statement. Such an approach would
retain clarity in income statements across industries while affording access to movements out of
accumulated other comprehensive income.

Sincerely,

Reed N. Brimhall
Vice-President, Controller
and Chief Accounting Officer