

**ikon**

---

**From:** pedro@snet.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 26, 2004 10:36 PM  
**To:** Director - FASB  
**Subject:** Connecticut

**Letter of Comment No: 5326**  
**File Reference: 1102-100**

Director, Major Projects and Technical Activities Financial Accounting Standards Board  
File Reference No. 1102-100 Share-Based Payment; an amendment of FASB Statements No. 123  
and 95 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Dear Director, Major Projects and Technical Activities Standards Board,

I strongly support your proposal to require companies to expense stock options. Not expensing stock options has promoted their overuse in CEO pay against the long-term interests of shareholders. For example, I believe stock options provided a financial incentive for Enron executives to cook the books.

The retirement savings of America's working families depend in part on all companies, including small businesses and start-ups, having honest accounting practices. Companies that do not expense stock options are hiding their true cost from investors, creditors and other consumers of financial reports. In my opinion, stock option compensation should not receive preferential accounting treatment.

In conclusion, I urge you to require stock option expensing as soon as possible. Independent experts, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, should set the standards on stock option expensing, not the politicians in Washington. Stock options are a compensation expense, and this cost can be reliably estimated using your proposed accounting method. I believe corporate executives should be ashamed for trying to hide the cost of stock options from their investors.

Sincerely,

Pedro-Martin de Clet  
118 Village Lane  
Branford, Connecticut 06405-Ct

cc:  
Senator Richard Shelby  
Senator Paul Sarbanes  
Representative Barney Frank  
Representative Michael Oxley