

FRANKLIN SAVINGS BANK Come to us for answers

387 Central Street Franklin, NH 03235 Tel (603) 934-8316 Fax (603) 934-1420 www.fsbnh.com



July 25, 2006

LETTER OF COMMENT NO. 38

VIA EMAIL (director@fasb.org)

Director Financial Accounting Standards Board **Emerging Issues Task Force**

RE:

EITF0604 - Comment Regarding Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements

To Whom It May Concern:

As a Trustee of Franklin Savings Bank, I am submitting this letter in response to the request for comment on the exposed Draft Abstract for EITF Issue No. 06-04, relating to split-dollar accounting.

It has come to our attention that the EITF proposes to require an accrual during an employee's or Trustee's service period for any post-retirement benefit promised under a split-dollar arrangement. Franklin Savings Bank objects strenuously to this proposal for the following reasons:

- 1. We understand that the proposed change would require Franklin Savings Bank to lower its retained earnings to account for its existing split-dollar arrangements. As you may already know, bank regulations generally permit a bank to hold Bank-Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) in amounts not to exceed 25% of its capital. Lowering retained earnings could cause a bank to exceed that percentage through an immediate drop in total capital, which in turn could invite regulatory criticism. Such a result seems unfair to Franklin Savings Bank, when prior accounting practices are working just fine.
- 2. The second undesirable result of the proposed rule change is that Franklin Savings Bank may not be able to accrue for the split-dollar benefit and would have to completely terminate the plan. This could have two negative side-effects:

Director - FASB Page 2 July 25, 2006

- a. loss of benefits to key employees and Trustees could result in those individuals looking for better benefits at other financial institutions. In other words, this change could affect how Franklin Savings Bank attracts and retains highly qualified people; and
- b. the proposed rule change could undermine estate planning by reducing life insurance benefits used to pay taxes, etc. What is more, these employees and Trustees may not be able to replace the lost insurance benefits due to lack of insurability or other factors beyond their control.
- 3. The third undesirable result of this proposed rule change is that, if Franklin Savings Bank decides to keep its split-dollar arrangements in place, in order to accrue for these benefits, Franklin Savings Bank may very well have to cut back on benefits it provides to other employees.
- 4. Finally, our accountants, in all the years we've had these plans, have never suggested that we accrue for the present value of the death benefit.

Our suggestion is that the FASB not adopt this proposed change in accounting treatment. Rather, we suggest they adopt View B, and we endorse the reasoning of the View B proponents. We are at a loss to understand how an insurance policy with guaranteed death benefit coverage past mortality age should require an accrual, especially if the split-dollar agreement does not promise a benefit if the policy goes away? If you should adopt the EITF's proposal, we would appreciate a response to this question.

Sincerely,

Rob D. Steady Vice Chairman of the Board

RDS:djs