



September 4, 2013

Mr. Russell G. Golden  
Chairman  
Financial Accounting Standards Board  
401 Merritt 7  
P.O. Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856

Mr. Hans Hoogervorst  
Chairman  
International Accounting Standards Board  
30 Cannon Street  
London, EC4M6XH  
United Kingdom

Subject: Comments Re: Leases Project Exposure Draft

Dear Sirs:

First Financial Corporate Services, Inc. and its affiliated companies First Financial Corporate Leasing, LLC and First Financial Veterans Leasing LLC, Inc. are all privately held equipment company's specializing in leasing healthcare, material handling and IT equipment. We are a fair market value lessor, typically offering off balance sheet financing and we are relying on end of term residual values for our return on investment. We feel that the proposed lease standards are a significant negative for both lessors and lessees and do not provide a meaningful improvement in the ability of financial analysts to evaluate corporate financial strength and will add significant costs to both lessees and lessors as the standards are put into effect in terms of man hours and software requirements. Having these added costs and negative impacts on companies, especially smaller ones, at a time when the economy is still struggling to regain some momentum, is not a positive step for our economy.

Many of our customers, especially hospitals and large distribution companies, have required off-balance sheet, operating lease treatment in order to be able to finance new equipment purchases to remain competitive and have access to additional capital. With the proposed accounting standards, requiring these entities to put their lease obligations on their balance sheets will potentially inhibit their ability to access additional capital, especially if they have indenture covenants limiting the amount of on balance sheet debt.

As a lessor, we feel the new standard is a significant negative because:

1. As we understand it, the primary reason for requiring operating leases to be capitalized is to provide more clarity in terms of financial analysis of a company. I have been in the leasing business for over 40 years and have never had a difficulty obtaining the necessary long term lease obligation figures from the financial statement notes so as to incorporate those obligations into my analysis of the overall financial condition of the company. In my many years of experience, all the financial analysts I have spoken too, and there are many, routinely use the financial statement notes to include long term lease obligations in



their analysis. We make credit decisions evaluating the financial statements of potential lessees every day and have been taking into consideration the impact of the long term lease obligations that are noted in the accompanying footnotes of the lessees' financial statements. In our view, requiring the lessees to now capitalize these operating lease obligations will not improve our ability to analyze their financial statements. I am not sure who will benefit or have more clarity in doing financial analysis, is it the individuals that are looking at these companies to make a stock investment or is it the banks and brokerage houses or the financial planners that are doing the analysis, if it is the former than we are making wholesale changes that effect less than 1% of the investment analysis market.

2. If we are to transition to the new standard we will have to re-class all our operating leases. With more than a thousand active lease schedules, this will be a huge accounting project costing us many man hours and accounting software charges which, in a competitive and sluggish economy, will be a serious burden to us and many of our competitors. The smaller leasing companies out there represent an alternative to the very large international lessors and banks and these significant costs and burdens will make us all less competitive and some will possibly have to exit the business.

3. Our clients have shared with us their feelings that this is a waste of time and resources that will not bring any value to their organization. They state that they are already resource constrained and these proposed lease standards will just put more burden on their already stretched resources.

Having said the above, if it is inevitable that the new standards are to be put in place, we strongly urge the committees to not require equipment lessees to treat the monthly expense differently than in the real estate industry. We feel that a straight-line expense pattern for profit-and-loss reporting is a better accounting for the economics of a true lease/operating lease.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "R O Stebbins".

Richard Stebbins  
President  
711 W Kimberly Ave., Suite 160  
Placentia, CA, 92870  
Office 714 646-1602  
Cell 714-515-0330  
[rstebbins@ffcsi.com](mailto:rstebbins@ffcsi.com)  
[www.ffcsi.com](http://www.ffcsi.com)