

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

Question Text	Response
* Please select the type of entity or individual responding to this feedback form.	Preparer
Other, please specify (Specified)	
* Please provide contact information for any follow-up questions.	(Filled in as Follows:)
Organization *	Penn Valley Park Conservancy
First name *	Don
Middle initial	
Last name *	Boley
Email address *	drb@retrosites.com
Phone number	
<p>1. Do you agree that the disclosures about the nature of donor-imposed restrictions and their effects on liquidity in notes to financial statements would help ensure that necessary information is not lost by combining the temporarily and permanently restricted classes of net assets into one donor restricted category for purposes of presentation in the statement of financial position (balance sheet)? If not, please identify the information lost and why it is necessary. (See</p>	<p>This question assumes that I agree with combining temporarily and permanently restricted classes of net assets into one donor restricted category. I do not. Small NFP boards have a need to clearly know how much money they have discretion to spend, what can only be spend on specific project/item and what they can not spend. There is a big difference between temporarily restricted and permanently restricted. The smaller NFP's boards have a hard enough time understanding the differences without having to read the notes. These organizations need to know available funds month to month, not year to year. Also why add "Donor" to the title? Adds more confusion. Either the funds are restricted or not restricted. Most smaller NFP's have events where tickets are sold (such as an historic homes tour for a preservation organization). Calling the excess of ticket sales over expenses "Net Assets Without Donor Restrictions" will be puzzling at best.</p> <p>No I do not agree to the question. Information will be lost because the dollars will not be easy to find. Also it will be difficult compare or put the various funds in context. In addition notes are not read.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>paragraphs BC22– BC23 and BC27– BC32.)</p>	
<p>2. Do you agree that the aggregated amount by which endowment funds are underwater should be classified within net assets with donor restrictions rather than net assets without donor restrictions? If not, why? (See paragraph BC24.)</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>3. Do you agree that disclosures describing the NFP's policy on spending from underwater endowment funds, together with the aggregated original gift amount or the amount that is required to be maintained by donor or by law, would provide creditors, donors, and other users with information useful in assessing an NFP's liquidity and potential constraints on its ability to provide services without imposing undue costs? Why or why not? (See paragraph BC32.)</p>	<p>No opinion.</p>
<p>4. Do you agree that providing information in notes</p>	<p>Yes. But there should still be temporarily and permanently restricted line items in the reports.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>to financial statements about financial assets and liabilities and limits on the use of those assets is an effective way to clearly communicate information useful in assessing an NFP's liquidity and how it manages liquidity without imposing undue costs? If not, why, and what alternative(s) would you suggest? (See paragraphs BC27– BC31.)</p>	
<p>5. Most business- oriented health care NFPs are required to present a classified balance sheet. Continuing care retirement communities and other NFPs may choose to sequence their assets and liabilities according to their nearness to cash as an alternative to using a classified balance sheet. As a result of the proposed requirement to provide enhanced disclosures of information useful in assessing liquidity, would there no longer be a need to hold business-oriented health care NFPs to the more stringent standard for their</p>	<p>No applicable.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

balance sheets? If not, why?	
<p>6. Do you agree that requiring intermediate measures of operations would provide users of NFP financial statements with more relevant and comparable information for purposes of (a) assessing whether the activities of a period have drawn upon, or have contributed to, past or future periods and (b) understanding the relationship of resources used in operations of a period to resource inflows available to fund those operations? Do you also agree that classifying and aggregating information in that way would not require major system changes? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC38– BC47.)</p>	<p>Yes to first part. No opinion on second part - major system changes.</p>
<p>7. Do you agree that intermediate measures of operations should include only those (a) resource inflows and outflows that are from or directed at carrying out an NFP's purpose for</p>	<p>Yes.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>existence and (b) resources that are available for current-period operating activities before and after the effects of internal governing board appropriations, designations, and similar actions? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC48– BC74.)</p>	
<p>8. Do you agree that all internal transfers (governing board appropriations, designations, and similar actions that make resources unavailable or available for operations of the current period) should be reflected on the statement of activities immediately after an intermediate measure of operations before transfers and immediately before an intermediate measure of operations after transfers? If not all internal transfers, on what basis would you distinguish between those transfers that should and should not be reflected and how would you make that distinction operable? Do you also agree that</p>	<p>They way this is written is confusing.</p> <p>I do agree that internal decisions (or lack of them) should be shown on the face of the statement rather than in notes.</p> <p>Transfer are complex but have to shown in some way for the board and donors to understand how the organization is operating.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>reflecting those internal decisions (or lack of them) on the face of the statement rather than in notes will help an NFP communicate how its operations are managed without adding undue complexities? Why or why not? (See paragraphs BC46– BC47 and BC67– BC74.)</p>	
<p>9. Do you agree that to promote comparability, the Board should eliminate one of the two optional methods for reporting expirations of donor restrictions on gifts of cash or other assets to be used to acquire or construct long-lived assets? Do you also agree that requiring the expiration of those donor restrictions on the basis of the placed-in-service approach rather than the current option to present a release from restriction over the useful life of the acquired long-lived asset is most consistent with the underlying notions of the intermediate measures of operations? If not, why? (See paragraph BC66.)</p>	<p>No opinion.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>10. Do you agree that gifts of, or for, property, plant, and equipment (long-lived assets) should be considered operating revenue and support when received (or when placed in service in the case of a gift to acquire a long-lived asset)? Do you also agree that because the long-lived asset is not immediately fully available to be utilized in the current period, an NFP should be required to present a transfer from operating activities to other activities for the amount of the gifted asset or portion of the asset funded by restricted gifts? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC72–BC74.)</p>	<p>No opinion.</p>
<p>11. Do you agree that the addition of required intermediate measures of operations for all NFPs would make unnecessary the need for NFP business-oriented health care entities to also present their currently required performance indicator? Why or why not? (See paragraph BC99.)</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>12. Do you think the flexibility currently allowed by GAAP to present a statement of activities as either a single statement or two articulating statements and to use either a single- column or a multicolumn format should be retained or narrowed? If narrowed, why and in what ways?</p>	<p>Retained.</p>
<p>13. Do you agree that reporting operating expenses by both their function and nature together with an analysis of all expenses (other than netted investment expenses) provides relevant and useful information in assessing how an NFP uses its resources and, thus, should be required? Why or why not? (See paragraphs BC87– BC93.)</p>	<p>Yes. Need to see the relationship to overhead versus programs. Also need to see exactly kind of items the funds are being spend on.</p>
<p>14. Do you agree that requiring investment income to be reported net of external and direct internal investment expenses will increase comparability and</p>	<p>No opinion. If an organizations income is highly dependent on investment income, then the all of the cost of managing that investment should be known and shown. Otherwise how would one know it is being invested wisely.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>avoid imposing undue costs to obtain information about all investment fees (for example, embedded fees of hedge funds, mutual funds, and funds of funds)? If not, why? (See paragraph BC100.)</p>	
<p>15. Do you agree that the disclosure of the amount of all investment expenses is unnecessary but that disclosure of internal salaries and benefits that are netted against investment return is of sufficient relevance, not too costly to obtain, and thus should be required? Why or why not? (See paragraph BC101.)</p>	<p>Do not agree that disclosure of the amount of all investment expenses is unnecessary. Do agree that all internal salaries and benefits should be netted against investment return and should be required.</p>
<p>16. Do you agree that interest expense, whether incurred on short-term or long-term borrowing, and fees and related expenses incurred for access to lines of credit and similar cash management and treasury activities are not directed at carrying out an NFP's purposes and, thus, should not be classified as operating</p>	<p>No. I don't agree that these expenses are not directed at carrying out the NFP's purposes. Why would the NFP have these costs if it wasn't required to carry out its purpose? Because it is required to do business i.e. operate. They should be classified as operating activities.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>activities? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC59– BC60.)</p>	
<p>17. Do you agree with the following implementation guidance:</p> <p>a. Equity transfers between NFPs that are under common control and are eliminated in a parent entity's consolidated financial statements and equity transactions between financially interrelated entities should be presented within operating activities unless they are not available for current-period use in carrying out the purpose for the reporting entity's existence? If not, why? (See paragraph BC62(a).)</p> <p>b. Immediate writeoffs of goodwill generally should be presented within operating activities? If not, why? (See paragraph BC62(b).)</p> <p>c. Immediate writeoffs of acquisitions of noncapitalized</p>	<p>No opinion.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>items for a permanent collection should be presented within the operating activity section if acquired with net assets without donor restrictions? If not, why? (See paragraph BC62(c).)</p>	
<p>18. Do you agree that the direct method of presenting operating cash flows is more understandable and useful than the indirect method? Do you also agree that the expected benefits of presenting operating cash flows in that way would justify the one-time and ongoing costs that may be incurred to implement that method of reporting? If not, please explain why and suggest an alternative that might increase the benefits or reduce any operational concerns or costs. (See paragraphs BC75–BC80.)</p>	<p>No. Since nearly all corporations prepare the statement of cash flows using the indirect method that is the statement that the majority of board members and donors have the most experience and therefore understand of. I don't see any expected benefits to board or donor understanding by implementing the direct method. What problem is this suppose to fix?</p>
<p>19. Does the indirect method's reconciliation of cash flows from operations to the</p>	<p>Need to stick with what the majority of potential donors and board members know—indirect method.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>total change in net assets provide any particular type of necessary information that would be lost if, as proposed, that method is no longer required? If so, please identify the potentially omitted information and explain why it is useful and whether it should be provided through disclosure rather than requiring use of the indirect method. If you suggest that requiring the indirect method is necessary, would you require that the amount for cash flows from operations be reconciled to the amount of the (a) change in net assets, (b) change in net assets without donor restrictions, or (c) proposed intermediate measure of operations before or after transfers? Why? (See paragraphs BC75– BC80.)</p>	
<p>20. Do you agree that although operating activities is defined differently for the statement of cash flows than for the statement of</p>	

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>activities, more closely aligning line items presented in the statement of cash flows with the proposed operating classification for the statement of activities will increase understandability even though that reporting would be somewhat different from current requirements for business entities? If you believe that operating items in the two financial statements would not be sufficiently aligned, please indicate how their alignment might be further improved. (See paragraphs BC81–BC86.)</p>	
<p>21. Are there any particular proposed amendments in this Update that would require a longer period to implement than other amendments? If so, please explain.</p>	<p>No opinion.</p>
<p>22. Are there reasons for any particular size or type of NFP to need a longer time frame to implement the proposed amendments in this Update? If so, please explain.</p>	<p>How are you going to communicate these changes to the smaller NFPs? It depends on what is required by the major non-profit accounting software companies such as QuickBooks to do and what they will charge. Some smaller NFPs are also going to cloud software which may or may not be able to quickly make changes.</p>

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

<p>Please provide any additional comments on the proposed Update:</p>	<p>As seen in another comment, these changes seem to be targeted for large NFPs which large amounts of cash. I have been involved in numerous smaller NFPs and none of these changes will help board member or donor understanding. In fact the combining of temporarily and permanently restricted funds will make it more confusing. Also going to direct versus indirect cash flows is counter to what more board and donors know and understand. Hard for me to see exactly what problems are being solved with these proposed changes. Except for the one about including investment expenses. Seems like these changes will just add cost to the smaller NFP and more work for volunteer board members (no staff NFPs).</p>
<p>Please provide any comments on the electronic feedback process:</p>	<p>Thank you for the electronic feedback. However, the questions were loaded to give a specific answer, assume I already agreed with part of them, poorly worded, confusing in some cases, and too many questions in one bigger question.</p>
<p>Below is a summary of your responses to the questions in this feedback form:</p>	<p>Not Answered</p>
<p>Thank you for your participation.</p> <p>If you are finished providing comments, click the 'Submit' button.</p>	<p>Not Answered</p>