

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| Question Text | Response |
|--|-------------------------|
| * Please select the type of entity or individual responding to this feedback form. | Accounting Firm/Auditor |
| Other, please specify (Specified) | |
| * Please provide contact information for any follow-up questions. | (Filled in as Follows:) |
| Organization * | Green Hasson Janks |
| First name * | Margaret |
| Middle initial | M |
| Last name * | Karren |
| Email address * | mmkarren@gmail.com |
| Phone number | 3108731617 |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Do you agree that the disclosures about the nature of donor-imposed restrictions and their effects on liquidity in notes to financial statements would help ensure that necessary information is not lost by combining the temporarily and permanently restricted classes of net assets into one donor restricted category for purposes of presentation in the statement of financial position (balance sheet)? If not, please identify the information lost and why it is necessary. (See</p> | <p>I agree with the proposed changes and feel the liquidity disclosures on the donor restricted funds with be very useful.</p> |
| <p>paragraphs BC22– BC23 and BC27– BC32.)</p> | |
| <p>2. Do you agree that the aggregated amount by which endowment funds are underwater should be classified within net assets with donor restrictions rather than net assets without donor restrictions? If not, why? (See paragraph BC24.)</p> | <p>I'm not sure this makes sense to me, if the funds are underwater wouldn't unrestricted funds be needed to restore if market conditions change. Suppose it doesn't really matter if there is disclosure.</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>3. Do you agree that disclosures describing the NFP's policy on spending from underwater endowment funds, together with the aggregated original gift amount or the amount that is required to be maintained by donor or by law, would provide creditors, donors, and other users with information useful in assessing an NFP's liquidity and potential constraints on its ability to provide services without imposing undue costs? Why or why not? (See paragraph BC32.)</p> | <p>I agree, this would be helpful to creditors and the board.</p> |
| <p>4. Do you agree that providing information in notes</p> | <p>Yes, I like this increase disclosure, current reporting doesn't tell the whole story.</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>to financial statements about financial assets and liabilities and limits on the use of those assets is an effective way to clearly communicate information useful in assessing an NFP's liquidity and how it manages liquidity without imposing undue costs? If not, why, and what alternative(s) would you suggest? (See paragraphs BC27– BC31.)</p> | |
| <p>5. Most business- oriented health care NFPs are required to present a classified balance sheet. Continuing care retirement communities and other NFPs may choose to sequence their assets and liabilities according to their nearness to cash as an alternative to using a classified balance sheet. As a result of the proposed requirement to provide enhanced disclosures of information useful in assessing liquidity, would there no longer be a need to hold business-oriented health care NFPs to the more stringent standard for their</p> | <p>I feel all nonprofits should be required to have a classified balance sheet. Board and creditors need this information, having multiple formats is confusing.</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>balance sheets? If not, why?</p> | |
| <p>6. Do you agree that requiring intermediate measures of operations would provide users of NFP financial statements with more relevant and comparable information for purposes of (a) assessing whether the activities of a period have drawn upon, or have contributed to, past or future periods and (b) understanding the relationship of resources used in operations of a period to resource inflows available to fund those operations? Do you also agree that classifying and aggregating information in that way would not require major system changes? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC38–BC47.)</p> | <p>I think this will be a difficult change for many nonprofits. I do have clients that have had issues due to non-operating funds causing misleading results for the board and creditors. As an outside CPA I doubt that more than 50% of my clients will be able to manage this on their own.</p> |
| <p>7. Do you agree that intermediate measures of operations should include only those (a) resource inflows and outflows that are from or directed at carrying out an NFP's purpose for</p> | |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| <p>existence and (b) resources that are available for current-period operating activities before and after the effects of internal governing board appropriations, designations, and similar actions? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC48–BC74.)</p> | |
| <p>8. Do you agree that all internal transfers (governing board appropriations, designations, and similar actions that make resources unavailable or available for operations of the current period) should be reflected on the statement of activities immediately after an intermediate measure of operations before transfers and immediately before an intermediate measure of operations after transfers? If not all internal transfers, on what basis would you distinguish between those transfers that should and should not be reflected and how would you make that distinction operable? Do you also agree that</p> | <p>I agree with this</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>reflecting those internal decisions (or lack of them) on the face of the statement rather than in notes will help an NFP communicate how its operations are managed without adding undue complexities? Why or why not? (See paragraphs BC46– BC47 and BC67– BC74.)</p> | |
| <p>9. Do you agree that to promote comparability, the Board should eliminate one of the two optional methods for reporting expirations of donor restrictions on gifts of cash or other assets to be used to acquire or construct long-lived assets? Do you also agree that requiring the expiration of those donor restrictions on the basis of the placed-in-service approach rather than the current option to present a release from restriction over the useful life of the acquired long-lived asset is most consistent with the underlying notions of the intermediate measures of operations? If not, why? (See paragraph BC66.)</p> | <p>i agree with using the placed-in-service method and eliminating the release as depreciated. Placed in service is used 99% of the time.</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>10. Do you agree that gifts of, or for, property, plant, and equipment (long-lived assets) should be considered operating revenue and support when received (or when placed in service in the case of a gift to acquire a long-lived asset)? Do you also agree that because the long-lived asset is not immediately fully available to be utilized in the current period, an NFP should be required to present a transfer from operating activities to other activities for the amount of the gifted asset or portion of the asset funded by restricted gifts? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC72–BC74.)</p> | |
| <p>11. Do you agree that the addition of required intermediate measures of operations for all NFPs would make unnecessary the need for NFP business-oriented health care entities to also present their currently required performance indicator? Why or why not? (See paragraph BC99.)</p> | <p>Yes, one requirement for all entities would be sufficient.</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>12. Do you think the flexibility currently allowed by GAAP to present a statement of activities as either a single statement or two articulating statements and to use either a single- column or a multicolumn format should be retained or narrowed? If narrowed, why and in what ways?</p> | <p>Narrowed, having different formats confuses readers. Personally I thing the multicolumn format is must more user friendly.</p> |
| <p>13. Do you agree that reporting operating expenses by both their function and nature together with an analysis of all expenses (other than netted investment expenses) provides relevant and useful information in assessing how an NFP uses its resources and, thus, should be required? Why or why not? (See paragraphs BC87– BC93.)</p> | <p>Yes I agree</p> |
| <p>14. Do you agree that requiring investment income to be reported net of external and direct internal investment expenses will increase comparability and</p> | <p>The investment fees are never correct due to the lack of disclosure by the investment companies. Showing net makes sense but the inclusion of direct internal expenses seems like a gray area that will results in numerous methodologies being used.</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|---------------|
| <p>avoid imposing undue costs to obtain information about all investment fees (for example, embedded fees of hedge funds, mutual funds, and funds of funds)? If not, why? (See paragraph BC100.)</p> | |
| <p>15. Do you agree that the disclosure of the amount of all investment expenses is unnecessary but that disclosure of internal salaries and benefits that are netted against investment return is of sufficient relevance, not too costly to obtain, and thus should be required? Why or why not? (See paragraph BC101.)</p> | <p>See 14</p> |
| <p>16. Do you agree that interest expense, whether incurred on short- term or long-term borrowing, and fees and related expenses incurred for access to lines of credit and similar cash management and treasury activities are not directed at carrying out an NFP's purposes and, thus, should not be classified as operating</p> | <p>Agree</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>activities? If not, why? (See paragraphs BC59–BC60.)</p> | |
| <p>17. Do you agree with the following implementation guidance:</p> <p>a. Equity transfers between NFPs that are under common control and are eliminated in a parent entity's consolidated financial statements and equity transactions between financially interrelated entities should be presented within operating activities unless they are not available for current-period use in carrying out the purpose for the reporting entity's existence? If not, why? (See paragraph BC62(a).)</p> <p>b. Immediate writeoffs of goodwill generally should be presented within operating activities? If not, why? (See paragraph BC62(b).)</p> <p>c. Immediate writeoffs of acquisitions of noncapitalized</p> | |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>items for a permanent collection should be presented within the operating activity section if acquired with net assets without donor restrictions? If not, why? (See paragraph BC62(c).)</p> | |
| <p>18. Do you agree that the direct method of presenting operating cash flows is more understandable and useful than the indirect method? Do you also agree that the expected benefits of presenting operating cash flows in that way would justify the one-time and ongoing costs that may be incurred to implement that method of reporting? If not, please explain why and suggest an alternative that might increase the benefits or reduce any operational concerns or costs. (See paragraphs BC75–BC80.)</p> | <p>NO, this will be difficult for organizations to prepare and users still won't use the information. Keep the indirect!</p> |
| <p>19. Does the indirect method's reconciliation of cash flows from operations to the</p> | <p>see 18, keep indirect</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>total change in net assets provide any particular type of necessary information that would be lost if, as proposed, that method is no longer required? If so, please identify the potentially omitted information and explain why it is useful and whether it should be provided through disclosure rather than requiring use of the indirect method. If you suggest that requiring the indirect method is necessary, would you require that the amount for cash flows from operations be reconciled to the amount of the (a) change in net assets, (b) change in net assets without donor restrictions, or (c) proposed intermediate measure of operations before or after transfers? Why? (See paragraphs BC75– BC80.)</p> | |
| <p>20. Do you agree that although operating activities is defined differently for the statement of cash flows than for the statement of</p> | <p>need to be consistent, ok with the changes in classification</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <p>activities, more closely aligning line items presented in the statement of cash flows with the proposed operating classification for the statement of activities will increase understandability even though that reporting would be somewhat different from current requirements for business entities? If you believe that operating items in the two financial statements would not be sufficiently aligned, please indicate how their alignment might be further improved. (See paragraphs BC81–BC86.)</p> | |
| <p>21. Are there any particular proposed amendments in this Update that would require a longer period to implement than other amendments? If so, please explain.</p> | |
| <p>22. Are there reasons for any particular size or type of NFP to need a longer time frame to implement the proposed amendments in this Update? If so, please explain.</p> | <p>no</p> |

Submitted 7/17/2015 12:58:24 PM

Proposed Accounting Standards Update, Not-for-Profit Entities (Topic 958) and Health Care Entities (Topic 954): Presentation of Financial Statements of Not-for-Profit Entities

| | |
|---|--|
| Please provide any additional comments on the proposed Update: | |
| Please provide any comments on the electronic feedback process: | |