

ikon

Letter of Comment No: 1790
File Reference: 1102-100

From: Christensen, Daniel Legal [daniel.legal.christensen@intel.com]
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2004 10:51 AM
To: Director - FASB
Subject: File Reference No. 1102-100

Dear Director of FASB:

I am writing about FASB's current proposal with respect to the treatment of stock options. In sum, I am extremely concerned about the position FASB is taking, not only for personal reasons but also for general incentives and US effectiveness/competitiveness and the ramifications that will be caused by your positions.

By treating employee stock options as an accounting expense, it disregards three fundamental issues. First, employee options are not freely tradable. How do you value something that has no market? How do you put a price on something if it's not for sale? The answer is that you cannot. There is no accurate way to value these options without an open market. Second, employee stock options are subject to lengthy vesting periods—typically four or five years. If the employee changes jobs before the options vest, they are forfeited. Finally, employee stock options will be exercised only if the stock price rises above the strike price. How does one predict future stock prices with any degree of certainty? There are entire industries dedicated to such a practice, yet no one is able to predict with absolute certainty what a stock price will be over a given length of time.

The FASB exposure draft is sure to be greeted with relish by our competitors in Asia and beyond. Entrepreneurs in China, Singapore and India will not just continue to focus on software development or other low-tech industries. They will create global economic powerhouses there which will be listed on those stock markets. In its latest five-year economic plan, the Chinese government explicitly calls for broader use of stock options to attract and retain key talent in China. It is ironic that a communist country, the People's Republic of China, is encouraging the wider use of stock options, while in the U.S. the FASB wishes to make option grants to employees much more difficult and expensive. This FASB proposal will harm the ability of Americans to innovate and drive our nation towards second tier status.

FASB should seriously consider the extremely damaging ramifications of its position with respect to stock options. These are a key ingredient in obtaining and retaining competitive individuals who move US technologies and markets forward competitively in the world today.

Daniel K. Christensen
Intel - EMEA Legal
Pipers Way
Swindon, Wiltshire, UK
SN3 1RJ
inet: 8 281 3069
tel: +44 1793 403 069
fax: +44 1793 422195
daniel.legal.christensen@intel.com

This e-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient (s) and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, or are not the named recipient (s), please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message from your computer. Thank you.

4/23/2004