

**From:** [skana@fnbeaglelake.com](mailto:skana@fnbeaglelake.com)  
**To:** [Director - FASB](#)  
**Subject:** File Reference: No. 1810-100, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"  
**Date:** Thursday, September 16, 2010 10:48:11 AM

---

Sam Kana  
PO Box 247  
Eagle Lake, TX 77434-0247

September 16, 2010

Russell Golden  
Technical Director  
Financial Accounting Standards Board  
401 Merritt 7, PO Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Dear Mr. Golden:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the exposure draft, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

As President of The First National Bank of Eagle Lake, a banking institution in Eagle Lake, Texas with \$93 million in total assets, I am writing to express my opinions on specific provisions of the exposure draft.

#### I. COMMENTS ON FAIR VALUE

I am strongly opposed to the portion of the proposal that requires all financial instruments - including loans - to be reported at fair value (market value) on the balance sheet.

Our bank does not sell our commercial loans. Basing our balance sheet on fair values leads readers of our financial statements to assume that we will sell the loans, which is not the case.

There is no active market for many of our loans, and estimating a market value makes no real sense.

Should this proposal pass, all of the consumer loans we make, would be an immediate charge off. There is no market for a \$2,000.00 consumer loan in Eagle Lake, Texas.

We could not afford to take the hits to capital for these consumer loans, and would be forced to cease doing such loans.

This proposal would effectly end lending to our low-moderate income customer base.

Marking all loans to market would cause our bank's capital to sway with fluctuations in the markets - even if the entire loan portfolio is performing. Instead of providing better information about our bank's health or its ability to pay dividends, the proposal would mask it.

Even if the banking regulators' Tier 1 capital excludes fair value fluctuations, we still will have to explain it to our investors, customers and depositors.

Our local Sub-S investors have expressed no interest in receiving this information. We believe our investors would not view these costs, which must come out of bank earnings, as being either reasonable or worthwhile.

For the reasons stated above, our bank respectfully requests that the fair value section of the exposure draft be dropped.

## II. COMMENTS ON LOAN IMPAIRMENT

I support the Board's efforts to revise the methodology to estimate loan loss provisions. However, I have serious concerns about how such changes can be implemented by banks like mine.

I recommend that any final model be tested by banks my size in order to ensure that the model is solid and workable.

Changing the way interest income is recorded to the proposed method makes the accounting more confusing and subjects otherwise firm data to the volatility that comes naturally from the provisioning process. I recommend maintaining the current method.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

President/CEO  
The First National Bank Of Eagle Lake

This message has been verified by CapwizXC as authentic and sent by this individual. Authentication ID: [v33366FPJ]