

## SECURITY STATE BANK

www.ssbnebraska.com

1810-100 Comment Letter No. 1051

> Main Bank PO Box 400 - 624 Main Street Ansley, Nebraska 68814 Phone: (308) 935-1700 Fax: (308) 935-1701

Dundee Bank Branch of Security State Bank 5015 Underwood Avenue Omaha, Nebraska 68132 Phone: (402) 504-4000 Fax: (402) 504-1900

September 20, 2010

Russell Golden Technical Director Financial Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7, PO Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Re: File Reference: No. 1810-100, "Accounting for Financial Instruments and Revisions to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"

Dear Mr. Golden:

As Financial Officer of Security State Bank, a banking institution in Ansley, NE with \$84 million in total assets, I am writing to express my opinions on specific provisions of the exposure draft.

I am strongly opposed to the portion of the proposal that requires all financial instruments - including loans - to be reported at fair value (market value) on the balance sheet. Our bank does not sell our commercial loans. Basing our balance sheet on fair values leads readers of our financial statements to assume that we will sell the loans, which is not the case. If there are issues with a borrower's ability to repay a loan, we work through the collection process with the borrower rather than sell the loan. Marking all loans to market would cause our bank's capital to sway with fluctuations in the markets - even if the entire loan portfolio is performing. Instead of providing better information about our bank's health or its ability to pay dividends, the proposal would mask it. The costs and resources that we will need to comply with this new requirement would be significant. This will require us to pay consultants and auditors to estimate market value. For the reasons stated above, our bank respectfully requests that the fair value section of the exposure draft be dropped.

I support the Board's efforts to revise the methodology to estimate loan loss provisions. However, I have serious concerns about how such changes can be implemented by banks like mine. It is very important that any new processes are agreed upon and well understood by regulators, auditors, and bankers prior to finalizing the rules.

Changing the way interest income is recorded to the proposed method makes the accounting more confusing and subjects otherwise firm data to the volatility that comes naturally from the provisioning process. I recommend maintaining the current method.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jeremey Shiers Financial Officer



