



**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SURETY BOND PRODUCERS**

1828 L Street, NW, Suite 720  
Washington, DC 20036-5104  
Tel: 202.686.3700  
Fax: 202.686.3656  
www.nasbp.org

*Sent via U.S. mail and email at [director@fasb.org](mailto:director@fasb.org).*

October 13, 2010

Technical Director  
File Reference No. 1820-100  
Financial Accounting Standards Board  
401 Merritt 7  
P.O. Box 5116  
Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Re: NASBP Comment Letter—File Reference No. 1820-100

Dear Technical Director:

On behalf of the National Association of Surety Bond Producers (NASBP), a national trade association located in Washington, DC serving a membership of firms throughout the United States, Puerto Rico, Guam and elsewhere, with personnel of over 5,000 surety agents, who specialize in providing surety bonds to companies and individuals for construction and other commercial purposes, I am writing to express our comments and concerns on the FASB and IASB Exposure Draft on Revenue Recognition from Contracts with Customers. As companies and individuals involved in preparing construction firms to establish and to maintain surety credit relationships, which, among other factors, focus on the financial wherewithal of these firms, NASBP members have a keen interest in pragmatic and objective accounting rules and accurate, high-quality financial statements. NASBP welcomes and appreciates the opportunity to provide its comments and concerns, particularly in view of the challenging task undertaken by FASB to converge disparate accounting standards and practices on revenue recognition.

Because the new standard recognizes revenue at the performance obligation level, a decided departure from the approach of the percentage completion method, which has long-prevalled in and is grounded in the economic realities of the construction industry, NASBP has serious concerns that such a change will have wrenching and costly consequences on construction firms. Most construction firms are smaller, private, localized businesses which perform locally or regionally, not nationally or internationally, and they have been severely challenged during this recessionary period. To that end, we respectfully request that FASB temper such a dramatic shift in revenue recognition standards by (1) preserving in the new standard the critical tenets of SOP 81-1, also known as ASC 605-35, and (2) delaying the adoption of the new standard for private businesses at least twelve to twenty-four months past the effective date for public businesses to permit private businesses to understand its impact more fully and to implement any necessary changes and associated costs in a stronger economic environment.

NASBP Comment Letter—File Reference No. 1820-100  
October 13, 2010  
Page 2 of 3

NASBP continues to believe that the percentage of completion method of accounting best serves the interests of construction firms and the credit businesses that serve those firms. We believe the performance obligation method does not represent an improved accounting method and that its adoption must be carefully thought-out and managed to mitigate the real possibility of engendering a number of unintended and undesirable consequences, such as injecting heightened subjectivity and confusion in the financial reporting of construction firms and placing higher costs and administrative burdens on such firms.

The proposed performance obligation method would, in theory, permit dividing a construction contract into multiple performance obligations. Furthermore, different contracts might vary in the number of identified performance obligations for each contract. Permitting such an outcome ignores the essential nature of construction contracts, each of which comprises a set of inextricably related activities, and makes achieving consistency in financial statements for construction firms a near impossibility.

Unlike the proposed performance obligation method, which might focus on any number of performance obligations within the construction contract, the percentage of completion method considers the day-to-day realities of construction businesses. By recognizing revenue as costs are incurred during the performance of a construction contract, the percentage of completion method recognizes that the construction business has undertaken an obligation to complete the entire, interrelated scope of work called for in its contract, that the risks within the contract are inseparable, and that the goods and services to be delivered flow continuously throughout the duration of the contract.

Accounting systems, business systems, and tax rules for construction businesses all are predicated on use of the percentage completion method of accounting. Likewise, in making credit evaluations, sureties are interested in understanding and analyzing an individual contractor's performance relative to the specific contracts the contractor has undertaken. Sureties, in fact, make credit decisions on a contract-by-contract basis. The departure from the percentage of completion method of accounting, which anticipates the whole contract, will mean that sureties likely will request additional financial information, placing increased burdens and costs on construction businesses, with particular impact on smaller construction firms. Those construction firms that cannot afford to bear such burdens may find surety credit more difficult to obtain or to maintain.

NASBP implores FASB to consider and to take into account the needs and practices of the U.S. construction and surety industries when promulgating the new revenue recognition standard so that the quality of financial reporting for construction firms is not jeopardized. By promulgating guidance on the new revenue recognition standard that recognizes and integrates the fundamental tenets of SOP 81-1, FASB can provide guidance which more accurately reflects the economic realities

NASBP Comment Letter—File Reference No. 1820-100  
October 13, 2010  
Page 3 of 3

of construction businesses and which will promote the continued consistency and quality of construction business financial statements.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark H. McCallum", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Mark H. McCallum  
Chief Executive Officer